APPENDIX G: CCR Certification Form (Suggested Format)

Consumer Confidence Report Certification Form

(to be submitted with a copy of the CCR)

(To certify electronic delivery of the CCR, use the certification form on the State Board's website at http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/drinking_water/certlic/drinkingwater/CCR.shtml)

Water System Name: RIVERBANK ARMY AMMUNHON PART
Water System Number: 5000211
The water system named above hereby certifies that its Consumer Confidence Report was distributed on (OU) O O (date) to customers (and appropriate notices of availability have been given). Further, the system certifies that the information contained in the report is correct and consistent with the compliance monitoring data previously submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Drinking Water. Certified by: Name: MARISCAR GARRAS
Signature:
Title: assistant City manager
Phone Number: (204) 863-7110 Date: 04 04 19
To summarize report delivery used and good-faith efforts taken, please complete the below by checking all tems that apply and fill-in where appropriate:
CCR was distributed by mail or other direct delivery methods. Specify other direct delivery methods used: <u>POSTED IN FRONT OF COMPLEX ON BUILDING BUILDING</u> QNO Emailed to tenants
"Good faith" efforts were used to reach non-bill paying consumers. Those efforts included the following methods:
Posting the CCR on the Internet at www
Mailing the CCR to postal patrons within the service area (attach zip codes used)
Advertising the availability of the CCR in news media (attach copy of press release)
Publication of the CCR in a local newspaper of general circulation (attach a copy of the published notice, including name of newspaper and date published)
Posted the CCR in public places (attach a list of locations) - BUIGHT BOUND TWINT OF (IN
Delivery of multiple copies of CCR to single-billed addresses serving several persons, such as apartments, businesses, and schools
Delivery to community organizations (attach a list of organizations)
Other (attach a list of other methods used)
For systems serving at least 100,000 persons: Posted CCR on a publicly-accessible internet site at the following address: www
For investor-owned utilities: Delivered the CCR to the California Public Utilities Commission
This forms is provided as a community of forces to the control of the Colifornia Code of Deputations and 64102/c)

2018 Consumer Confidence Report

Water System Name:

Riverbank LRA

Report Date:

03/20/19

We test the drinking water quality for many constituents as required by state and federal regulations. This report shows the results of our monitoring for the period of January 1 - December 31, 2018 and may include earlier monitoring data.

Este informe contiene información muy importante sobre su agua para beber. Favor de comunicarse Riverbank LRA: a (209) 869-4384 para asistirlo en español.

Type of water source(s) in use:	Groundwater Wells						
Name & general location of source	e(s): Well	#5 and Well #6.4	t 5300 Clau	s Rd. Riverb	ank, CA		
	· Proposition of the last		1	And the second second black and a second sec			
Drinking Water Source Assessmen	nt information:	Completed i	n 2002 and	2003 - see las	t page.		
- 10 P	anti-tair cas	ANTE CO					
Time and place of regularly sched	uled board meetir	ngs for public par	ticipation:	None	9		
13				THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE			
-For more information, contact:	Roy Fife		.,	Phone:	(209) 869-4384		
***************************************	*** **********************************		A LITTLE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	ESTABLIST TO SERVICE STATE OF THE SERVICE STATE OF			

TERMS USED IN THIS REPORT

Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL): The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. Primary MCLs are set as close to the PHGs (or MCLGs) as is economically and technologically feasible. Secondary MCLs are set to protect the odor, taste, and appearance of drinking water.

Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

Public Health Goal (PHG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by the California Environmental Protection Agency.

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level (MRDL): The highest level of a disinfectant allowed in drinking water. There is convincing evidence that addition of a disinfectant is necessary for control of microbial contaminants.

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal (MRDLG): The level of a drinking water disinfectant below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs do not reflect the benefits of the use of disinfectants to control microbial contaminants.

Primary Drinking Water Standards (PDWS): MCLs and MRDLs for contaminants that affect health along with their monitoring and reporting requirements, and water treatment requirements.

Secondary Drinking Water Standards (SDWS): MCLs for contaminants that affect taste, odor, or appearance of the drinking water. Contaminants with SDWSs do not affect the health at the MCL levels.

Treatment Technique (TT): A required process intended to reduce the level of a contaminant in drinking water.

Regulatory Action Level (AL): The concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, triggers treatment or other requirements that a water system must follow.

Variances and Exemptions: State Board permission to exceed an MCL or not comply with a treatment technique under certain conditions.

ND: not detectable at testing limit

ppm: parts per million or milligrams per liter (mg/L)

ppb: parts per billion or micrograms per liter (µg/L)

ppt: parts per trillion or nanograms per liter (ng/L)

pCi/L: picocuries per liter (a measure of radiation)

The sources of drinking water (both tap water and bottled water) include rivers, lakes, streams, ponds, reservoirs, springs, and wells. As water travels over the surface of the land or through the ground, it dissolves naturally-occurring minerals and, in some cases, radioactive material, and can pick up substances resulting from the presence of animals or from human activity.

Contaminants that may be present in source water include:

- Microbial contaminants, such as viruses and bacteria, that may come from sewage treatment plants, septic systems, agricultural livestock operations, and wildlife.
- Inorganic contaminants, such as salts and metals, that can be naturally-occurring or result from urban stormwater runoff, industrial or domestic wastewater discharges, oil and gas production, mining, or farming.
- Pesticides and herbicides, that may come from a variety of sources such as agriculture, urban stormwater runoff, and residential uses.
- Organic chemical contaminants, including synthetic and volatile organic chemicals, that are by-products of industrial and
 petroleum production, and can also come from gas stations, urban stormwater runoff, agricultural application, and septic systems.
- Radioactive contaminants, that can be naturally-occurring or be the result of oil and gas production and mining activities.

In order to ensure that tap water is safe to drink, the USEPA and the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) prescribe regulations that limit the amount of certain contaminants in water provided by public water systems. State Board regulations also establish limits for contaminants in bottled water that provide the same protection for public health.

Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 list all of the drinking water contaminants that were detected during the most recent sampling for the constituent. The presence of these contaminants in the water does not necessarily indicate that the water poses a health risk. The State Board allows us to monitor for certain contaminants less than once per year because the concentrations of these contaminants do not change frequently. Some of the data, though representative of the water quality, are more than one year old.

TABLE 1 – SAMPLING RESULTS SHOWING THE DETECTION OF COLIFORM BACTERIA							
Microbiological Contaminants	Highest No. of Detections	No. of Months in Violation	MCL	MCLG	Typical Source of Bacteria		
Total Coliform Bacteria (State Total Coliform Rule)	(In a mo.) 0	0	positive monthly sample	0	Naturally present in the environment		
Fecal Coliform or <i>E. coli</i> (State Total Coliform Rule)	(In the year)	0	A routine sample and a repeat sample are total coliform positive, and one of these is also fecal coliform or <i>E. coli</i> positive	0	Human and animal fecal waste		
E. coli (Federal Revised Total Coliform Rule)	(In the year)	0	(a)	0	Human and animal fecal waste		

(a) Routine and repeat samples are total coliform-positive and either is *E. coli*-positive or system fails to take repeat samples following *E. coli*-positive routine sample or system fails to analyze total coliform-positive repeat sample for *E. coli*.

Lead and Copper (and reporting units)	Sample Date	No. of Samples Collected	90 th Percentile Level Detected	No. Sites Eexceeding AL	AL	PHG	Typical Source of Contaminant
Lead (ppb)	07/20/17	5	< 5	0	15	0.2	Internal corrosion of household water plumbing systems; discharges from industrial manufacturers; erosion of natural deposits
Copper (ppm)	07/20/17	5	0.05	O	1.3	0.3	Internal corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits; leaching from wood preservatives

TABLE 3 – SAMPLING RESULTS FOR SODIUM AND HARDNESS								
Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units)	Sample Date	Average Level Detected	Range of Detections	MCL	PHG (MCLG)	Typical Source of Contaminant		
Sodium (ppm)	11/08/17	29	29 - 29	None	None	Salt present in the water and is generally naturally occurring		
Hardness (ppm)	11/08/17	175	170 - 180	None	None	Sum of polyvalent cations present in the water, generally magnesium and calcium, and are usually naturally occurring		

^{*}Any violation of an MCL or AL is asterisked. Additional information regarding the violation is provided later in this report.

I ABLE 4 – DE	ILCIION U	A COMMINION	TIAL CHILITIES AND	* YE T T TATELY.	TARE DIGHT	IKING WATER STANDARD		
Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units)	Sample Date	Average Level Detected	Range of Detections	MCL [MRDL]	PHG (MCLG) [MRDLG]	Typical Source of Contaminant		
Nitrate as Nitrogen (ppm)	2018	5	5 - 6	10	10	Runoff and leaching from fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewage; erosion of natural deposits		
Barium (ppm)	11/08/17	0.1	0.1 - 0.1	1	2	Discharge of oil drilling wastes and from metal refineries; erosion of natural deposits		
Uranium (pCi/l)	2013-2015	4	4 - 5	20	0.4	Erosion of natural deposits		
Arsenic (ppb)	11/08/17	2	2 - 3	10	0.004	Erosion of natural deposits; runoff from orchards; glass and electronics production wastes		
Chromium (ppb)	11/08/17	6	< 5 - 13	50	(100)	Discharge from steel and pulp mills and chrome plating; erosion of natural deposits		
TABLE 5 – DET	ECTION OF	CONTAMIN	ANTS WIT	H A SECON	DARY DRI	NKING WATER STANDARD		
Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units)	Sample Date	Average Level Detected	Range of Detections	MCL	PHG (MCLG)	Typical Source of Contaminant		
Total Dissolved Solids (ppm)	11/08/17	290	280 - 300	1000	N/A	Runoff/leaching from natural deposits		
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	11/08/17	475	470 - 480	1600	N/A	Substances that form ions when in water; seawater influence		
Chloride (ppm)	11/08/17	25	24 - 26	500	N/A	Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; seawater influence		
Sulfate (ppm)	11/08/17	9	9 - 10	500		Runoff/leaching from natural deposits' industrial wastes		
Turbidity (NTU)	11/08/17	0.2	0.1 - 0.2	5	N/A	Soil runoff		
	TABLE 6	- DETECTION	ON OF ADD	ITIONAL (CONTAMIN	IANTS		
Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units)	Sample Date	Range of Detections	MCL (MRDL)	Health Effects Language				
Distribution System Chlorine Residual (ppm)	2018	1.0 - 1.2	(4)	Some people who use water containing chlorine well in excess of the MRDL could experience irritating effects to their eyes and nose. Some people who drink water containing chlorine well in excess of the MRDL could experience stomach discomfort.				
	07/10/17		-					

^{*}Any violation of an MCL or AL is asterisked. Additional information regarding the violation is provided on the next page.

80

12

Distribution System Total Trihalomethanes

(ppb)

07/12/17

Some people who drink water containing trihalomethanes in excess of the MCL over many years may experience liver,

kidney, or central nervous system problems, and may have an

Additional General Information on Drinking Water

Drinking water, including bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that the water poses a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the USEPA's Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4791).

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. USEPA/Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and other microbial contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline.

Nitrate as Nitrogen in drinking water at levels above 10 mg/L is a health risk for infants of less than six months of age. Such nitrate levels in drinking water can interfere with the capacity of the infant's blood to carry oxygen, resulting in serious illness; symptoms include shortness of breath and blueness of the skin. Nitrate-N levels above 10 mg/L may also affect the ability of the blood to carry oxygen in other individuals, such as pregnant women and those with specific enzyme deficiencies. If you are caring for an infant, or you are pregnant, you should ask advice from your health care provider.

Vulnerability Assessment Summary

A source water assessment was conducted for Well #6 of the Riverbank LRA water system in June of 2002 and Well #5 of the Riverbank LRA water system in May of 2003. The sources are considered most vulnerable to the following activities not associated with any detected contaminants: chemical/petroleum processing / storage, military installations, injection wells / dry wells / sumps, and animal feeding operations. For more information regarding the assessment summary, contact: Roy Fife at (209) 869-4384.

2018 SWS CCR Form Revised Feb 2019