2017 Consumer Confidence Report

We test the drinking water quality for many constituents as required by state and federal regulations. This report shows the results of our monitoring for the period of January 1 - December 31, 2017 and may include earlier monitoring data.

Este informe contiene información muy importante sobre su agua potable. Tradúzcalo ó hable con alguien que lo entienda bien.

Type of water source(s) in use: Well

Name &general location of source(s): Wells #1-2, Highway 68, Salinas, CA 93925

Drinking Water Source Assessment information: See attached; dated June, 2002

Time and place of regularly scheduled board meetings for public participation: Time and place TBA

For more information, contact: Rick Garcia Phone: 831.236.0784

TERMS USED IN THIS REPORT

Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL): The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. Primary MCLs are set as close to the PHGs (or MCLGs) as is economically and technologically feasible. Secondary MCLs are set to protect the odor, taste, and appearance of drinking water.

Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA).

Public Health Goal (PHG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by the California Environmental Protection Agency.

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level (MRDL): The highest level of a disinfectant allowed in drinking water. There is convincing evidence that addition of a disinfectant is necessary for control of microbial contaminants.

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal (MRDLG): The level of a drinking water disinfectant below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs do not reflect the benefits of the use of disinfectants to control microbial contaminants.

Primary Drinking Water Standards (PDWS): MCLs and MRDLs for contaminants that affect health along with their monitoring and reporting requirements, and water treatment requirements.

Secondary Drinking Water Standards (SDWS):MCLs for contaminants that affect taste, odor, or appearance of the drinking water. Contaminants with SDWSs do not affect the health at the MCL levels.

Treatment Technique (TT): A required process intended to reduce the level of a contaminant in drinking water.

Regulatory Action Level (AL): The concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, triggers treatment or other requirements that a water system must follow.

Variances and Exemptions: State Board permission to exceed an MCL or not comply with a treatment technique under certain conditions.

Level 1 Assessment: A Level 1 assessment is a study of the water system to identify potential problems and determine (if possible) why total coliform bacteria have been found in our water system.

Level 2 Assessment: A Level 2 assessment is a very detailed study of the water system to identify potential problems and determine (if possible) why an *E. coli* MCL violation has occurred and/or why total coliform bacteria have been found in our water system on multiple occasions.

ND: not detectable at testing limit

ppm: parts per million or milligrams per liter (mg/L)

ppb: parts per billion or micrograms per liter (μg/L)

ppt: parts per trillion or nanograms per liter (ng/L)

ppq: parts per quadrillion or picogram per liter (pg/L)

pCi/L: picocuries per liter (a measure of radiation)

The sources of drinking water (both tap water and bottled water) include rivers, lakes, streams, ponds, reservoirs, springs, and wells. As water travels over the surface of the land or through the ground, it dissolves naturally-occurring minerals and, in some cases, radioactive material, and can pick up substances resulting from the presence of animals or from human activity.

Contaminants that may be present in source water include:

- *Microbial contaminants*, such as viruses and bacteria, that may come from sewage treatment plants, septic systems, agricultural livestock operations, and wildlife.
- *Inorganic contaminants*, such as salts and metals, that can be naturally-occurring or result from urban stormwater runoff, industrial or domestic wastewater discharges, oil and gas production, mining, or farming.
- Pesticides and herbicides, that may come from a variety of sources such as agriculture, urban stormwater runoff, and residential uses.
- Organic chemical contaminants, including synthetic and volatile organic chemicals, that are byproducts of industrial processes and petroleum production, and can also come from gas stations, urban stormwater runoff, agricultural application, and septic systems.
- Radioactive contaminants, that can be naturally-occurring or be the result of oil and gas production and mining activities.

In order to ensure that tap water is safe to drink, the U.S. EPA and the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) prescribe regulations that limit the amount of certain contaminants in water provided by public water systems. State Board regulations also establish limits for contaminants in bottled water that provide the same protection for public health.

Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 list all of the drinking water contaminants that were detected during the most recent sampling for the constituent. The presence of these contaminants in the water does not necessarily indicate that the water poses a health risk. The State Board allows us to monitor for certain contaminants less than once per year because the concentrations of these contaminants do not change frequently. Some of the data, though representative of the water quality, are more than one year old. Any violation of an AL, MCL, MRDL, or TT is asterisked. Additional information regarding the violation is provided later in this report.

TABLE 1 -	TABLE 1 –SAMPLING RESULTS SHOWING THE DETECTION OF COLIFORM BACTERIA									
Microbiological Contaminants (complete if bacteria detected)	Highest No. of Detections	No. of Months in Violation	MCL	MCLG	Typical Source of Bacteria					
Total Coliform Bacteria (state Total Coliform Rule)	(In a mo.) <u>2</u>	1	1positive monthly sample	0	Naturally present in the environment					
Fecal Coliform or E. coli (state Total Coliform Rule)	(In the year)	1	A routine sample and a repeat sample are total coliform positive, and one of these is also fecal coliform or <i>E. coli</i> positive		Human and animal fecal waste					
E. coli (federal Revised Total Coliform Rule)	(In the year)	1	(a)	0	Human and animal fecal waste					

(a) Routine and repeat samples are total coliform-positive and either is *E. coli*-positive or system fails to take repeat samples following *E. coli*-positive routine sample or system fails to analyze total coliform-positive repeat sample for *E. coli*.

TABLE 2	TABLE 2 –SAMPLING RESULTS SHOWING THE DETECTION OF LEAD AND COPPER										
Lead and Copper (complete if lead or copper detected in the last sample set)	Sample Date	No. of Samples Collecte d	90 th Percentile Level Detected	No. Sites Exceeding AL	AL	PHG	No. of Schools RequestingLead Sampling	Typical Source of Contaminant			
Lead (ppb)	8/10/16	5	ND	0	15	0.2	0	Internal corrosion of household water plumbing systems; discharges from industrial manufacturers; erosion of natural deposits			
Copper (ppm)	8/10/16	5	0.27	0	1.3	0.3	0	Internal corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits; leaching from wood preservatives			

a			RESULTS FOR	SODIUM A		NESS
Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units)	Sample Date	Level Detected	Range of Detections	MCL	PHG (MCLG)	Typical Source of Contaminant
Sodium (ppm)	9/18/17	92.5	82-103	none	none	Salt present in the water and is generally naturally occurring
Hardness (ppm)	9/18/17	65.8	47.9-83.6	none	none	Sum of polyvalent cations present in the water, generally magnesium and calcium, and are usually naturally occurring
TABLE 4 – DET	ECTION O	F CONTAMIN	ANTS WITH A	PRIMARY	DRINKING	WATER STANDARD
Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units)	Sample Date	Level Detected	Range of Detections	MCL [MRDL]	PHG (MCLG) [MRDLG]	Typical Source of Contaminant
Aluminum (ppm)	4/6/16	0.31	0-0.62	1	0.6	Erosion of natural deposits; residue from some surface water treatment processes
Arsenic (ppb)	2017	20.8*	12-29	10	0.004	Erosion of natural deposits; runoff from orchards; glass and electronics production wastes
Cadmium (ppb)	4/6/16	7*	0-14	5	0.04	Internal corrosion of galvanized pipes; erosion of natural deposits; discharge from electroplating and industrial chemical factories, and metal refineries; runoff from waste batteries and paints
Chromium (ppb)	4/6/16	6	0-12	50	(100)	Discharge from steel and pulp mill and chrome plating; erosion of natural deposits
Fluoride (ppm)	9/18/17	0.28	0.27-0.29	2.0	1	Erosion of natural deposits; water additive which promotes strong teeth; discharge from fertilizer and aluminum factories
Nickel (ppb)	4/6/16	6.5	0-13	100	12	Erosion of natural deposits; discharge from metal factories
Nitrate (as Nitrogen, N) (ppm)	9/18/17	0.6	0-1.1	10	10	Runoff and leaching from fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewage; erosion of natural deposits
TABLE 5 – DETE	CTION OF	CONTAMINA	NTS WITH A S	ECONDAR	Y DRINKIN	G WATER STANDARD
Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units)	Sample Date	Level Detected	Range of Detections	MCL	PHG (MCLG)	Typical Source of Contaminant
Turbidity (units)	5/6/08	12*	7.9-16	5	N/A	Soil runoff
Chloride (ppm)	9/18/17	106.5	80-133	500	N/A	Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; seawater influence
Iron (ppb)	9/18/17	4,400*	0-8,800	300	N/A	Leaching from natural deposits; industrial wastes
Manganese (ppb)	9/18/17	50	0-100	50	N/A	Leaching from natural deposits
	9/18/17	9.5	9.2-19	500	N/A	Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; industrial wastes
Sulfate (ppm)			•	-	37/4	Dun off/log shing from notural
Sulfate (ppm) Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (ppm)	9/18/17	347.5	300-395	1,000	N/A	Runoff/leaching from natural deposits

TABLE 6 – DETECTION OF UNREGULATED CONTAMINANTS									
Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units) Sample Date Detected Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units) Sample Detected Range of Detections Notification Level Health Effects Language									

Additional General Information on Drinking Water

Drinking water, including bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that the water poses a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the U.S. EPA's Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4791).

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. U.S. EPA/Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and other microbial contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4791).

Lead-Specific Language for Community Water Systems: If present, elevated levels of lead can cause serious health problems, especially for pregnant women and young children. Lead in drinking water is primarily from materials and components associated with service lines and home plumbing. Laguna Seca Recreation WS is responsible for providing high quality drinking water, but cannot control the variety of materials used in plumbing components. When your water has been sitting for several hours, you can minimize the potential for lead exposure by flushing your tap for 30 seconds to 2 minutes before using water for drinking or cooking. [Optional: If you do so, you may wish to collect the flushed water and reuse it for another beneficial purpose, such as watering plants.] If you are concerned about lead in your water, you may wish to have your water tested. Information on lead in drinking water, testing methods, and steps you can take to minimize exposure is available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4701) or at http://www.epa.gov/lead.

Summary Information for Violation of a MCL, MRDL, AL, TT, or Monitoring and Reporting Requirement

VIOLATION	VIOLATION OF AMCL, MRDL, AL, TT, OR MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENT									
Violation	Explanation	Duration	Actions Taken to Correct the Violation	Health Effects Language						
*E-coli Bacteria	The water system Distribution failed the drinking water standard for E- coli during February, 2017. The well was absent for Bacteria.	February, 2017	According to MCHD there was a power outage and the distribution wasn't flushed during winter.	Fecal coliforms and E. coli are bacteria whose presence indicates that the water may be contaminated with human or animal wastes. Microbes in these wastes can cause short-term effects, such as diarrhea,						
				cramps, nausea,						

				1 1 1
				headaches, or
				other symptoms.
				They may pose a
				special health
				risk for infants,
				young children,
				some of the
				elderly, and
				people with
				severely
				compromised
				immune
				systems.
*Total Coliform	The water system	November, 2017	A system	Coliforms are
Bacteria	failed the	,	inspection and	bacteria that are
	drinking water		chlorination were	naturally
	standard for total		performed.	present in the
	coliform during		1	environment
	November, 2017			and are used as
	1,0,0222,02,			an indicator that
				other,
				potentially
				harmful,
				bacteria may be
				present.
				Coliforms were
				found in more
				samples than
				allowed and this
				was a warning
				of potential
				problems.
* A	Well #1 & Well	I act tacts d	Quantarity tasting	_
*Arsenic		Last tested	Quarterly testing	Some people
	#2 had high levels	November 6,	and public	who drink water
	in 2011, 2013- 2017	2017	notification	containing
	2017			arsenic in excess
				of the MCL over
				many years may
				experience skin
				damage or
				circulatory
				system
				problems, and
				may have an
				increased risk of
				getting cancer.

*Cadmium	Well #2 had high levels in 2016	Last tested 4/6/16		Some people who drink water containing cadmium in excess of the MCL over many years may experience kidney damage.
*Turbidity	Both wells had high levels in 2008	Last tested 5/6/2008	No actions required	None
*Iron	Well #2 had high levels in 2014, 2015 & 2017	Last tested 9/18/17	Annual testing	None

For Water Systems Providing Groundwater as a Source of Drinking Water

TABLE 7 – SAMPLING RESULTS SHOWING FECAL INDICATOR-POSITIVE GROUNDWATER SOURCE SAMPLES									
Microbiological Contaminants (complete if fecal-indicator detected) Total No. of Detections Sample Dates MCL [MRDL] PHG (MCLG) [MRDLG] Typical Source of Complete if fecal-indicator detected)			Typical Source of Contaminant						
E. coli	(In the year)		0	(0)	Human and animal fecal waste				
Enterococci	(In the year)		TT	n/a	Human and animal fecal waste				
Coliphage	(In the year)		TT	n/a	Human and animal fecal waste				

Summary Information for Federal Revised Total Coliform Rule Level 1 and Level 2 Assessment Requirements

Level 1 or Level 2 Assessment Requirement not Due to an E. coli MCL Violation

Coliforms are bacteria that are naturally present in the environment and are used as an indicator that other, potentially harmful, waterborne pathogens may be present or that a potential pathway exists through which contamination may enter the drinking water distribution system. We found coliforms indicating the need to look for potential problems in water treatment or distribution. When this occurs, we are required to conduct assessment(s) to identify problems and to correct any problems that were found during these assessments.

During the past year we were required to conduct one Level 1 assessment. The Level 1 assessment was completed. In addition, we were required to take 2 corrective actions and we completed both of these actions.

During the past year there were no Level 2 assessments required to be completed for our water system.

Level 2 Assessment Requirement Due to an E. coli MCL Violation

E. coli are bacteria whose presence indicates that the water may be contaminated with human or animal wastes. Human pathogens in these wastes can cause short-term effects, such as diarrhea, cramps, nausea, headaches, or other symptoms. They may pose a greater health risk for infants, young children, the elderly, and people with severely-compromised immune systems. We found *E. coli* bacteria, indicating the need to look for potential problems in water treatment or distribution. When this occurs, we are required to conduct assessment(s) identify problems and to correct any problems that were found during these assessments.

We were required to complete a Level 2 assessment because we found *E. coli* in our water system. In addition, we were required to take no corrective actions.

Drinking Water Source Assessment

Water System

LAGUNA SECA RECREATION WS

Monterey County

Transient Non-community Water System

Water Source

WELL 01

Assessment Date

June, 2002

Assessment Completed By

Monterey County

California Department of Public Health Drinking Water Field Operations Branch LPA Monterey County

District No. 57

System No. 2702009

Source No. 001

PS Code 2702009-001

Vulnerab	Vulnerability Summary Transient Non-community Water Syste							
District Name	Monterey County	District No. 57	County	Monterey				
System Name	LAGUNA SECA RECREATION W	/S		System	No. 2702009			
Source Name	WELL 01	Source No	001	PS Code	2702009-001			
Completed by	Monterey County		D	ate _June, 2002	2			
	According to CDPH records, this Source is Groundwater. This Assessment was done using the Transient Noncommunity Groundwater System Method.							
A source water	er assessment was conducted fo	r the WELL 01				_		
of the <u>LAGU</u>	INA SECA RECREATION WS		_ water s	ystem in <u>Ju</u>	ne, 2002	-		
The source is	considered most vulnerable to the	he following activities	not assoc	ciated				

The source is considered most vulnerable to the following activities not associated with any detected contaminants:

Military installations
Wastewater treatment plants

Discussion of Vulnerability

There have been no contaminants detected in the water supply, however the source is still considered vulnerable to activities located near the drinking water source.

The water system is proposing to build a wastewater treatment plant on site approximately 600 feet from existing well.

A copy of the complete assessment may be viewed at:

Monterey County Health Department 1270 Natividad Road Room 109 California, CA 93906

You may request a summary of the assessment be sent to you by contacting:

Sandy Ayala Environmental Health Specialist (831)755-8924 (831)755-8929 (fax) ayalasa@co.monterey.ca.us

Vulneral	bilitv F	Rankina
V MILLOLM	\sim :::: \cdot , :	141111119

District Name	Monterey County	District No. 57	County	Monterey		
System Name	LAGUNA SECA RECREATION WS	S		System No. 2702009		
Source Name	WELL 01	Source No	001	PS Code	2702009-001	
Completed by	Monterey County		D	ate _ June, 2002		

The following PCAs were identified in the assessment and are listed in priority order based on risk to the water supply. Refer to the last page for more information.

Zone	PCA (Risk Ranking)	*	PCA Risk Points	Zone Points	PBE Points	Vulnerability Score
Α	Military installations (VH)		7	5	3	15
Α	Wastewater treatment plants (VH)		7	5	3	15
Α	Lagoons/liquid wastes (H)		5	5	3	13

^{* =} A contaminant potentially associated with this activity has been detected in the water supply.

Explanation of Source Water Assessments and Definition of Terms

A source water assessment was recently completed for this drinking water source. The assessment identifies the vulnerability of the drinking water supply to contamination from typical human activities. The assessments are intended to facilitate and provide the basic information necessary for a local community to develop a program to protect the drinking water supply.

A summary of the complete assessment is provided here. For more information, contact the agency or individual that prepared the assessment (shown in summary). You may also contact the local Department of Public Health Drinking Water Field Operations Branch district office http://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/Documents/DDWEM/OriginalDistrictMapCDPH.pdf).

Additional information about assessments can be found at: http://www.cdph.ca.gov/certlic/drinkingwater/Pages/DWSAP.aspx

Terms used in this summary:

Source Water Assessment: An assessment is an evaluation of a drinking water source to determine the "possible contaminating activities" (PCAs) to which the source is most vulnerable. The assessment includes: a delineation of protection zones around the source; an inventory of the types of PCAs within the source protection zones; and an analysis to determine the PCAs to which the source is most vulnerable. The information is compiled into a report that includes a map, calculations, checklists, and a summary of the findings.

Possible Contaminating Activity (PCA): A PCA is a current or historic human activity that is an actual or potential origin of contamination for a drinking water source. PCAs include activities that use, store, produce or dispose of chemicals that have the potential to contaminate drinking water supplies. There are 110 types of PCAs in the California DWSAP program.

PCA Risk Ranking: Each type of PCA is assigned a risk ranking (Very High, High, Moderate, or Low). The risk ranking is based on the contaminant(s) typically associated with that PCA, the likelihood of release from that type of facility based on historical experience, and the mobility of the contaminant(s).

PCA Inventory: The PCA inventory is a review using local knowledge, databases, and on-site evaluations to identify the occurrence and approximate location of PCAs in the source water zones. The inventory for the basic DWSAP assessments is a presence-absence review. If a type of PCA occurs in a zone, a "Yes" is noted in the inventory for that zone, regardless of whether there is one or many of that type of facility within the zone. If a PCA has been associated with a contaminant detected in the water supply, a notation is made in the PCA inventory.

Source Water Zones or Areas: These are areas located around and typically adjacent to a drinking water source that have been identified as initial protection areas.

For groundwater sources, there are typically three concentric circular zones around a source (Zones A, B5 and B10). The sizes of the are determined based on characteristics of the source. PCAs located in the inner Zone A are considered more of a risk to the water supply than PCAs located in the middle Zone B5. Similarly, PCAs located in Zone B5 are considered more of a risk than PCAs located in the outer Zone B10.

For surface water sources, the watershed is defined as the overall protection area, and as an option, zones are defined closer to the source. Two types of zones are typically established. Zone A is the area within and near the surface water body and its tributaries. Zone B is an area within 2,500 feet of the intake, not including areas in Zone A. For surface water sources, PCAs located in Zone A are considered a greater threat than PCAs located in Zone B. PCAs located on the watershed outside of the zones are considered to be of less risk to the water supply. If zones have not been defined, PCAs are considered to be of equal risk regardless of location on the watershed.

Physical Barrier Effectiveness (PBE): The PBE for a source is an evaluation of the ability of the source and the surrounding area to prevent the movement of contaminants into the source. The PBE is based on the construction and operation features of the source, and the characteristics of the surrounding area. A source is assigned a PBE of Low, Moderate or High, where High indicates that the physical barriers of the source and site are very effective in preventing the movement of contaminants. By design, typical groundwater sources will have Moderate PBE, while typical surface water sources will have Low PBE. This is due to the greater exposure of surface water sources to contamination.

Vulnerability Ranking: The vulnerability ranking is a summary of the PCAs identified in the assessment prioritized by the risk that they pose to the water supply. The prioritization is based on the risk associated with a PCA, the zone in which it occurs, and the PBE of the source. In the vulnerability ranking, points are assigned as follows:

PCA risk ranking	Very High = 7	High = 5	Moderate = 3	Low = 1	Unknown in any zone = 0
Zone (Groundwater)	A = 5	B5 = 3	B10 = 1		
Zone (Surface water with zones)	A = 5	B = 3	Watershed = 1		
Zone (Surface water without zones)	Watershed = 5				
Physical Barrier Effectiveness	Low = 5	Moderate = 3	High = 1		

The points for each type of PCA in each zone are totaled to give a vulnerability score, and the PCAs are ranked in order from the highest score to the lowest score. PCAs associated with detected contaminants are ranked at the top, regardless of vulnerability score. By definition, groundwater sources are not considered vulnerable to PCAs with scores less than 8, and surface water sources are not considered vulnerable to PCAs with scores less than 11. It should be noted that the vulnerability ranking scores do not have a direct quantitative value. Rather, the points are used only to relatively rank the types of PCAs for an individual source.

Note: Some of the summaries do not include a vulnerability ranking. If the assessment was done on paper and the details were not entered into the database, the vulnerability ranking is not available here. In addition, alternate methods of determining vulnerability were allowed in some cases, and the vulnerability ranking is not in the database.

Vulnerability Summary: The source is considered most vulnerable to the PCAs with the highest score, and to PCAs associated with detected contaminants. These PCAs are noted in the vulnerability summary. Further details or discussion may be provided in the vulnerability discussion.