

ANTELOPE VALLEY – EAST KERN WATER AGENCY

2019 ANNUAL WATER QUALITY REPORT


LOS ANGELES COUNTY SYSTEM

OFFICERS

DWAYNE CHISAM, P.E.
General Manager
and Chief Engineer

MATTHEW KNUDSON
Assistant General Manager

HOLLY H. HUGHES
Secretary-Treasurer



A PUBLIC AGENCY

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

SHELLEY SORSABAL
Division 1
President

KEITH DYAS
Division 2
Vice President

FRANK S. DONATO
Division 3

JUSTIN G. LANE
Division 4

ROBERT A. PARRIS
Division 5

AUDREY T. MILLER
Division 6

GARY VAN DAM
Division 7

March 18, 2020

Dear General Manager:

This is the 2019 Annual Water Quality Report from the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency (AVEK). Since the water you obtain from AVEK represents one of your sources of water, we have included a summary of results for all analyses completed in 2019 for your convenience. If you find that you need copies of individual monitoring reports please feel free to contact me and I will be happy to provide those for you.

In accordance with the Consumer Confidence Report (CCR) guidance manuals issued by the State Water Resources Control Board and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, we are herein providing you with the monitoring data and other information you will need to produce your CCR.

AVEK provides some treated water to our customers in Acton by way of an intertie with Palmdale Water District (PWD). AVEK monitors the treated water quality provided by PWD at our Acton Water Treatment Plant before it reaches our first customer. The results of this monitoring have been included in this report. If you have specific questions regarding the quality of the raw water treated by Palmdale Water District, please contact them directly.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please call me at 661-943-3201. However, please do not designate AVEK or this office as your contact in your CCR. According to the State Board and EPA guidelines, the designated contact person should be someone from your system. While we are always happy to answer questions about AVEK water, we do not have the specific information necessary to answer questions about your water, blending practices or distribution systems.

Respectfully,

Jordan Wray
Laboratory Director

6500 WEST AVENUE N • PALMDALE, CALIFORNIA 93551
(661) 943-3201 • www.avek.org • info@avek.org

The mission of AVEK is to deliver reliable, sustainable and high quality supplemental water to the region in a cost-effective and efficient manner.

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

2019 Annual Water Quality Report

We are pleased to provide you with this year's Annual Water Quality Report. We want to keep you informed about the excellent water we have delivered to you over the past year. Our goal is, and always has been, to provide to you a safe supply of drinking water.

Our main water source is the State Water Project, California Aqueduct. The State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) has assessed the vulnerability of the State Water Project as to possible contaminating activities. The assessment's description and discussion of vulnerability is as follows:

“The California Aqueduct originates at the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta at Clifton Court Forebay. Water in the Delta originates in the Sacramento River watershed, the San Joaquin watershed, and the watershed drainage from the Mokelumne River, Stanislaus River, Merced River and several smaller rivers that drain the eastern slopes of the Sierra Nevadas. Located in these drainage areas are a broad variety of potential sources of contamination including municipal, industrial and agricultural activities. Also influencing the quality of water pumped from the Delta is the impact of the estuarial nature of the Delta and the naturally occurring salt-water intrusion which is dependent to a large extent on the inflow from the contributing rivers.

The possible contaminating activities present within the California Aqueduct watershed are described in the State Water Project Watershed Sanitary Survey conducted by the California Department of Water Resources and their consultants in 1990 and updated in 2016.”

Our alternative water source is State Water Project water which has been stored in the aquifer at various underground storage facilities (i.e. “water banks”) and is recovered for water quality purposes or supply purposes during times of drought. The vulnerability of the facilities was assessed in 2014 as follows:

“The wells are most vulnerable to contaminants from activities such as herbicide use along transportation corridors or road right-of-ways; agricultural/irrigation wells; irrigated crops; application of fertilizer, pesticides, and herbicides; agricultural drainage; and the raw State Water Project surface water used to recharge the groundwater basins. Other potential contaminating activities include the potential presence of certain unknown activities such as unregistered underground storage tanks.”

A copy of these assessments may be viewed at, Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency, 6450 West Avenue N, Palmdale, CA 93551.

If you have any questions about this report or the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency, please contact Jordan Wray, Laboratory Director at 661-943-3201. We want our valued customers to be informed about our Water Agency. If you want to learn more, please attend any of our regularly scheduled Board meetings. They are held on the second and fourth Tuesday of every month, 6:30 PM, at the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency Office, 6450 West Avenue N, Palmdale, CA, 93551.

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency routinely monitors for contaminants in our drinking water according to Federal and State laws. The table in this report, "2019 Annual Water Quality Report", shows the results of our monitoring for the period of January 1st to December 31st, 2019.

All drinking water, including bottled drinking water, may be reasonably expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. It is important to remember that the presence of these contaminants does not necessarily pose a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the Environmental Protection Agency's Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 1-800-426-4791.

We have learned through our monitoring and testing that some contaminants have been detected, however, we are proud to report that our drinking water meets all State and Federal requirements.

Total Coliform: Water systems are required to meet a strict standard for coliform bacteria. Coliform bacteria are usually harmless, but their presence in water can be an indication of disease-causing bacteria. When coliform bacteria are found, special follow-up tests are done to determine if harmful bacteria are present in the water supply. If the standard is exceeded, the water supplier must notify the public by newspaper, television or radio.

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. EPA/CDC guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and other microbiological contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (800-426-4791).

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency
2019 Annual Water Quality Report - Los Angeles County System

Parameter	Units	MCL	DLR	Acton Plant Effluent (CWR)		Eastside Plant Effluent (CWR)		Quartz Hill Plant Effluent (CWR)		Raw Influent (State Water Project)		Water Bank Wells	
				Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average
Color	Units	15		<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5		10	<5	<5
Copper	µg/L	1000	50		ND		3.2		2.0		15		
Foaming Agents (MBAS)	mg/L	0.5			ND		ND		ND		ND		
Hardness (Total) as CaCO3	mg/L	no standard			90		100		100		94		
Iron	µg/L	300	100		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Magnesium	mg/L	no standard			10		12.0		13		12		
Manganese	µg/L	50	20		ND		ND		ND		3.5		
Odor @ 60 C	Units	3	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1		1	<1	<1
pH	Units	no standard		7.1-8.5	7.43	6.7-8.1	7.31	6.6-7.50	6.99	7.1-9.8	8.20	7.4-8.0	7.60
Silver	µg/L	100	10		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Sodium	mg/L	no standard			48		57		58		48		
Specific Conductance	µmhos	1600		410-430	420	450-500	480	490-500	500		480	340-1000	680
Sulfate	mg/L	250	0.5		25		51		53		33		
Thiobencarb (Bolero)	µg/L	1	1		ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	µg/L	5	3		ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	500			260		290		310		300		
Turbidity	Units	5		0.02-0.04	0.02	0.01-0.09	0.02	0.02-0.14	0.04	0.30-31	4.23	0.02-3.3	0.28
Zinc	µg/L	5000	50		520		520		580		ND		
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/L	no standard			58		62		57		74		
Bicarbonate Alkalinity(as HCO3)	mg/L	no standard			71		75		70		90		
Carbonate (as CO3)	mg/L	no standard			ND		ND		ND		ND		
Hydroxide (as OH)	mg/L	no standard			ND		ND		ND		ND		

RADIOLOGICAL CONTAMINANTS

Parameter	Units	MCL	DLR	PHG	RESULTS		
					Raw Influent (State Water Project)	Water Bank Wells	
					Range	Average	
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	15	3				
Gross Beta	pCi/L	50	4				3.1-3.3 3.2
Strontium 90	pCi/L	8	2	0.35			ND ND
Tritium	pCi/L	20,000	1,000	400			ND ND
Uranium	pCi/L	20	1	0.43			
Radium 228	pCi/L		1	0.019			
Radium 226	pCi/L		1	0.05			

VOLATILE ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS

Parameter	Units	MCL	DLR	PHG	RESULTS		
					State Water Project	Water Bank Wells	
					Average	Range	Average
1,1,1-Trichlorethane (1,1,1-TCA)	µg/L	200	0.5	1000	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	µg/L	1	0.5	0.1	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA)	µg/L	5	0.5	0.3	ND	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	µg/L	5	0.5	3	ND	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethylene (1,1-DCE)	µg/L	6	0.5	10	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	µg/L	5	0.5	5	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene (o-DCB)	µg/L	600	0.5	600	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	µg/L	0.5	0.5	0.4	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	µg/L	5	0.5	0.5	ND	ND	ND
1,3-Dichloropropene (Total)	µg/L	0.5	0.5	0.2	ND	ND	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene (p-DCB)	µg/L	5	0.5	6	ND	ND	ND
Benzene	µg/L	1	0.5	0.15	ND	ND	ND
Carbon tetrachloride	µg/L	0.5	0.5	0.1	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene (c-1,2-DCE)	µg/L	6	0.5	100	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	µg/L				ND	ND	ND
Dichloromethane (Methylene Chloride)	µg/L	5	0.5	4	ND	ND	ND
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	300	0.5	300	ND	ND	ND
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	µg/L	13	3	13	ND	ND	ND
Monochlorobenzene (Chlorobenzene)	µg/L	70	0.5	70	ND	ND	ND
Styrene	µg/L	100	0.5	0.5	ND	ND	ND

**Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency
2019 Annual Water Quality Report - Los Angeles County System**

Parameter	Units	MCL	DLR	PHG	State Water Project		Water Bank Wells	
					Average	Range	Range	Average
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	µg/L	5	0.5	0.06	ND	ND	ND	ND
Toluene	µg/L	150	0.5	150	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene (t-1,2-DCE)	µg/L	10	0.5	60	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	µg/L				ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	µg/L	5	0.5	1.7	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon11)	µg/L	150	5	1300	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113)	µg/L	1200	10	4000	ND	ND	ND	ND
Vinyl Chloride (VC)	µg/L	0.5	0.5	0.05	ND	ND	ND	ND
Xylenes (Total)	µg/L	1750	0.5	1800	ND	ND	ND	ND

SYNTHETIC ORGANIC CHEMICALS

Parameter	Units	MCL	DLR (DL)	PHG	RESULTS			
					State Water Project		Water Bank Wells	
					Range	Average	Range	Average
Alachlor	µg/L	2	1	4	ND	ND	ND	ND
Atrazine	µg/L	1	0.5	0.15	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bentazon	µg/L	18	2	200	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	0.2	0.1	0.007	ND	ND	ND	ND
Carbofuran	µg/L	18	5	0.7	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlordane	µg/L	0.1	0.1	0.03	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,4-D	µg/L	70	10	20	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dalapon	µg/L	200	10	790	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibromochloropropane (DBCP)	µg/L	0.2	0.01	0.0017	ND	ND	ND	ND
Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	µg/L	400	5	200	ND	ND	ND	ND
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	µg/L	4	3	12	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dinoseb	µg/L	7	2	14	ND	ND	ND	ND
Diquat	µg/L	20	4	6	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endothall	µg/L	100	45	94	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endrin	µg/L	2	0.1	0.3	ND	ND	ND	ND
Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)	µg/L	0.05	0.02	0.01	ND	ND	ND	ND
Glyphosate	µg/L	700	25	900	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor	µg/L	0.01	0.01	0.008	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/L	0.01	0.01	0.006	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	1	0.5	0.03	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	µg/L	50	1	2	ND	ND	ND	ND
Lindane	µg/L	0.2	0.2	0.032	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methoxychlor	µg/L	30	10	0.09	ND	ND	ND	ND
Molinate	µg/L	20	2	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
Oxamyl	µg/L	50	20	26	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pentachlorophenol	µg/L	1	0.2	0.3	ND	ND	ND	ND
Picloram	µg/L	500	1	166	ND	ND	ND	ND
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	µg/L	0.5	0.5	0.09	ND	ND	ND	ND
Simazine	µg/L	4	1	4	ND	ND	ND	ND
Thiobencarb (Bolero)	µg/L	70	1	42	ND	ND	ND	ND
Toxaphene	µg/L	3	1	0.03	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)	pg/L	30	5	0.05	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	µg/L	50	1	3	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	µg/L	0.005	0.005	0.0007	ND	ND	ND	ND

DISINFECTION RESIDUAL, PRECURSORS, and BYPRODUCTS

Type of Sample(s)	Parameter	Units	MCL/MRDL	DLR	MRDLG	RESULTS	
						Range	Average
Distribution	Chlorine (as total Cl2)	mg/L	4.0		4	0.18-1.74	1.04
Treated Water	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	Treatment Requirement	0.3		1.3-2.8	1.7
State Water Project	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	Treatment Requirement	0.3		2.5-4.7	2.9
Distribution	Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total Trihalomethanes	µg/L	80**			5.0-78	56 #
Distribution	Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total Haloacetic Acids	µg/L	60**			ND - 18	13 #
Treated Water	Bromate	µg/L	10 ⁺	5		ND - 5.6	1.5

** Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total THMs and Total HAAs compliance is based upon Locational Running Annual Averages.

Location with the highest TTHM average

+ Compliance is based on the running annual average computed quarterly, of monthly samples, collected at the entrance to the distribution system.

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency
2019 Annual Water Quality Report - Los Angeles County System

DEFINITIONS and FOOTNOTES:

Plant Effluent, CWR, is finished, treated drinking water.

Raw Water is the Source Water, the California Aqueduct or wells, prior to treatment.

Units: mg/L = milligrams per liter, parts per million (ppm)

µg/L = micrograms per liter, parts per billion (ppb)

pg/L = picograms per liter, parts per quadrillion (ppq)

µmhos = micromhos, a measure of specific conductance

pCi/L = pico Curies per liter

< = less than

> = greater than

ND = none detected above the DLR

NTU = nephelometric turbidity unit is a measure of the clarity of water. Turbidity in excess of 5 NTU is just noticeable to the average person.

MCL: Maximum Contaminant Level. The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. MCLs are set by the US Environmental Protection Agency or the State Water Resources Control Board as close to the PHGs and MCLGs as is economically or technologically feasible.

MRDL: Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level. The level of a disinfectant added for water treatment that may not exceeded at the consumer's tap.

DLR: Detection Limit for purposes of Reporting.

(DL): Detection limit determined by the Laboratory when no DLR has been established.

MCLG: The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

MRDLG: Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal. The level of a disinfectant added for water treatment below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs are set by the US Environmental Protection Agency.

PHG: Public Health Goal. The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard

Primary Drinking Water Standard: Primary MCLs, specific treatment techniques adopted in lieu of primary MCLs, and monitoring and reporting requirements for MCLs that are specified in regulations. Assessment.

Secondary Standards: Aesthetic standards established by the State Water Resources Control Board.

All analyses performed by ELAP certified laboratories: AVEK Water Agency, Eurofins Eaton Analytical Laboratories, or Eurofins subcontract lab.

STAGE 2 DISINFECTION BYPRODUCT RULE
TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANE (TTHM)
QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT

Water System Name: Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

System No. 1910045

	TTHM (ppb)							
	Monitoring Periods				LRAA (TTHM)	Meets Standard? (Y/N)	OEL (TTHM)	Exceed OEL (Y/N)
	MP1	MP2	MP3	MP4 (Current Qtr)				
<i>Sample Date (month/date/year):</i>	<i>02/21/19</i>	<i>05/16/19</i>	<i>08/15/19</i>	<i>11/21/19</i>				
Vincent Tank	32	38	47	36	38.25	Y	39.25	N
LVAV	56	65	26	78	56.25	Y	61.75	N
110th/R	43	29	18	27	29.25	Y	25.25	N
165th	5.0	42	28	46	30.25	Y	40.50	N
			<i>09/10/19</i>					
5th/M	43	49	18	29	34.75	Y	31.25	N

Comments: 5th/M was resampled on 9/10/19 in the third quarter due to a QC error at our contract laboratory for the sample collected 8/15/19.

Note: If your OEL is higher than the TTHM MCL at any location in the distribution system, you must conduct an operational evaluation by examining the system treatment and distribution operational practices, including: storage tank operations; excess storage capacity; distribution system flushing; changes in sources or source water quality; treatment changes; and any problems that may contribute to TTHM formation. From this evaluation you must identify what steps could be taken to minimize future OEL exceedances: Please submit your operational evaluation report to the State for review within 90 days.

Name & Title of Person Submitting Report Jordan Wray - Laboratory Director

Date 12/31/2019

MP1=3 Qtrs Ago, MP2=2 Qtrs Ago, MP3= Last Qtr, MP4=Current Qtr
LRAA = Locational Running Annual Avg = (MP1+MP2+MP3+MP4)/4
OEL = Operational Evaluation Levels = (MP2 + MP3 + 2MP4)/4
TTHM MCL = 0.080 mg/L

STAGE 2 DISINFECTION BYPRODUCT RULE
HALOACETIC ACIDS (HAA5)
QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT

Water System Name: Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

System No. 1910045

	HAA5 (ppb)							
	Monitoring Periods				LRAA (HAA5)	Meets Standard? (Y/N)	OEL (HAA5)	Exceed OEL (Y/N)
	MP1	MP2	MP3	MP4 (Current Qtr)				
<i>Sample Date (month/date/year):</i>	<i>02/21/19</i>	<i>05/16/19</i>	<i>08/15/19</i>	<i>11/21/19</i>				
Vincent Tank	5.3	4.7	6.5	3.0	4.88	Y	3.13	N
LVAV	18	14	6.8	15	13.45	Y	12.70	N
110th/R	11	6.7	4.6	4.6	6.73	Y	5.13	N
165th	ND	9.3	7.0	8.6	6.10	Y	4.05	N
			<i>09/10/19</i>					
5th/M	12	11	5.8	4.8	8.40	Y	6.60	N

Comments: 5th/M was resampled on 9/10/19 in the third quarter due to a QC error at our contract laboratory for the sample collected 8/15/19.

Note: If your OEL is higher than the HAA5 MCL at any location in the distribution system, you must conduct an operational evaluation by examining the system treatment and distribution operational practices, including: storage tank operations; excess storage capacity; distribution system flushing; changes in sources or source water quality; treatment changes; and any problems that may contribute to HAA5 formation. From this evaluation you must identify what steps could be taken to minimize future OEL exceedances: Please submit your operational evaluation report to the State for review within 90 days.

Name & Title of Person Submitting Report Jordan Wray- Laboratory Director

Date 12/31/2019

MP1=3 Qtrs Ago, MP2=2 Qtrs Ago, MP3= Last Qtr, MP4=Current Qtr
 LRAA = Locational Running Annual Avg = (MP1+MP2+MP3+MP4)/4
 OEL = Operational Evaluation Levels = (MP2 + MP3 + 2MP4)/4
 HAA5 MCL= 0.060 mg/L

Quarterly Bromate Report for Disinfection Byproducts Compliance (in µg/L or ppb)

System Name: Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency System No.: 1910045 Year: 2019 Quarter: 4TH

Sample Date (month/date):	2018				1st Qtr.				2nd Qtr.				3rd Qtr.				4th Qtr.			
	1st Q	2nd Q	3rd Q	4th Q	1/9	2/13	3/13	Quarterly Average	4/30	5/22	6/12	Quarterly Average	7/10	8/14	9/11	Quarterly Average	10/9	11/13	12/11	Quarterly Average
Site 1 - QHWTP	0.0	0.0	6.0	2.3	OFF	5.6	ND	1.9	4.5	1.9	1.2	2.5	1.6	2.2	1.8	1.9	1.5	1.9	ND	1.1
Site 2 - EWTP	0.0	0.0	4.7	2.5	OFF	ND	ND	0.0	2.3	4.2	2.1	2.9	ND	1.2	1.3	0.8	ND	2.7	ND	0.9
Site 3 - AWTP	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF		OFF	OFF	OFF		OFF	OFF	OFF		OFF	OFF	OFF	
System Quarterly Average	0.0	0.0	5.4	2.4				0.9				2.7				1.4				1.0


Running Annual Average				1.9				2.2				2.8				1.8				1.5
-------------------------------	--	--	--	-----	--	--	--	-----	--	--	--	-----	--	--	--	-----	--	--	--	-----

Meets Standard?*								Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(check box)								No <input type="checkbox"/>				No <input type="checkbox"/>				No <input type="checkbox"/>				No <input type="checkbox"/>

Identify the sample locations in the table below.

Site	Sample Location
1	Quartz Hill Clear Well Reservoir
2	Eastside Clear Well Reservoir
3	Acton Clear Well Reservoir

Comments: Samples collected at the entry point to the distribution system for each treatment plant using ozone. "OFF" denotes treatment plant shutdown or ozone system shutdown.

Signature  Date 12/31/2019

*If, during the first year of monitoring, any individual quarter's average will cause the running annual average of that system to exceed the standard, then the system is out of compliance at the end of that quarter.

Quarterly Report for Disinfectant Residuals Compliance For Systems Using Chlorine or Chloramines

System Name: Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency System No.: 1910045

Calendar Year: 2019 Quarter: 4TH


1st Quarter			
Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)	
Previous Year	April	1.03	
	May	1.02	
	June	1.05	
	July	1.05	
	August	1.12	
	September	1.06	
	October	1.02	
	November	1.10	
	December	1.04	
	Current Year	January	155
		February	124
		March	124
Running Annual Average (RAA):		1.04	
Meets standard? (i.e. RAA < MRDL of 4.0 mg/L as Cl ₂)		YES	

2nd Quarter			
Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)	
Previous Year	July	1.05	
	August	1.12	
	September	1.06	
	October	1.02	
	November	1.10	
	December	1.04	
	Current Year	January	0.97
		February	0.97
		March	1.08
		April	154
		May	124
		June	124
Running Annual Average (RAA):		1.05	
Meets standard? (i.e. RAA < MRDL of 4.0 mg/L as Cl ₂)		YES	

3rd Quarter		
Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)
Previous Yr	October	1.02
	November	1.10
	December	1.04
Current Year	January	0.97
	February	0.97
	March	1.08
	April	1.12
	May	1.03
	June	1.06
	July	155
	August	124
	September	124
Running Annual Average (RAA):		1.04
Meets standard? (i.e. RAA < MRDL of 4.0 mg/L as Cl ₂)		YES

4th Quarter		
Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)
Current Year	January	0.97
	February	0.97
	March	1.08
	April	1.12
	May	1.03
	June	1.06
	July	1.04
	August	1.02
	September	1.02
	October	155
	November	124
	December	155
Running Annual Average (RAA):		1.04
Meets standard? (i.e. RAA < MRDL of 4.0 mg/L as Cl ₂)		YES

Comments:

Signature: 

Date: 12/31/2019

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency
LA System No. 1910045
TOC Removal Running Annual Average

Sample Date	Plant	Alkalinity mgCaCO3/L	Raw TOC mg/L	Treated TOC mg/L	Actual % TOC reduction	Required % TOC reduction	"TOC Removal Ratio" actual % /required %
1/9/2019	QHWTP	75.1	2.69	1.68	37.5	25	1.5
"	EWTP	75.3	2.71	1.70	37.3	25	1.5
"	AWTP	plant off					
2/13/2019	QHWTP	80.2	3.28	1.85	43.6	25	1.7
"	EWTP	74.8	3.34	2.10	37.1	25	1.5
"	AWTP	plant off					
3/13/2019	QHWTP	51.8	4.53	2.33	48.6	45	1.1
"	EWTP	52.6	4.69	2.75	41.4	45	0.9
"	AWTP	plant off					
4/10/2019	QHWTP	43.0	2.88	1.50	47.9	35	1.4
"	EWTP	43.9	2.93	1.57	46.4	35	1.3
"	AWTP	plant off					
5/8/2019	QHWTP	69.7	2.88	1.81	37.2	25	1.5
"	EWTP	68.9	3.03	1.79	40.9	25	1.6
"	AWTP	plant off					
6/12/2019	QHWTP	42.9	2.76	1.39	49.6	35	1.4
"	EWTP	43.2	2.74	1.30	52.6	35	1.5
"	AWTP	plant off					
7/10/2019	QHWTP	47.7	2.94	1.77	39.8	35	1.1
"	EWTP	51.6	3.02	1.83	39.4	35	1.1
"	AWTP	plant off					
8/14/2019	QHWTP	54.3	2.51	1.51	39.8	35	1.1
"	EWTP	53.4	2.56	1.56	39.1	35	1.1
"	AWTP	plant off					
9/27/2019	QHWTP	56.8	2.78	1.61	42.1	35	1.2
"	EWTP	56.6	2.95	1.87	36.6	35	1.0
"	AWTP	plant off					
10/9/2019	QHWTP	57.9	2.59	1.61	37.8	35	1.1
"	EWTP	56.4	2.62	1.69	35.5	35	1.0
"	AWTP	plant off					
11/13/2019	QHWTP	73.1	2.51	1.66	33.9	25	1.4
"	EWTP	73.3	2.57	1.84	28.4	25	1.1
"	AWTP	plant off					
12/11/2019	QHWTP	62.3	2.53	1.46	42.3	25	1.7
"	EWTP	63.8	2.60	1.66	36.2	25	1.4
"	AWTP	plant off					
Minimum		42.9	2.5	1.3	28.4		
Maximum		80.2	4.7	2.8	52.6		
RAA		59.5	2.9	1.7	40.5		

Running Annual Average (RAA) 1.3

Title 22 California Code of Regulations, Chapter 15.5, Article 5:

Required percent TOC reduction**

Raw TOC	0-60	<60 - 120	>120
>2.0 - 4.0	35.0 %	25.0 %	15.0 %
>4.0 - 8.0	45.0 %	35.0 %	25.0 %
>8.0	50.0 %	40.0 %	30.0 %

**If one or more of the section 64636.4(b) 1-6 conditions are met, the system may assign a monthly value of 1 for the TOC removal ratio in lieu of the calculated value. List condition when used:

1. The system's source water TOC level, prior to any treatment is less than or equal to 2.0 mg/L
2. The system's treated water TOC level is less than or equal to 2.0 mg/L
3. The system's source water SUVA, prior to any treatment, is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m
4. The system's finished water SUVA is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m
5. A system practicing softening removes at least 10 mg/L of magnesium hardness (as CaCO3)
6. A system practicing enhanced softening lowers alkalinity below 60 mg/L (as CaCO3)