ANTELOPE VALLEY – EAST KERN WATER AGENCY

2017 ANNUAL WATER QUALITY REPORT LOS ANGELES COUNTY SYSTEM

OFFICERS

DWAYNE CHISAM, P.E. General Manager

MATTHEW KNUDSON Assistant General Manager

> HOLLY H. HUGHES Secretary-Treasurer



February 27, 2018

Dear General Manager:

This is the 2017 Annual Water Quality Report from the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency (AVEK). Since the water you obtain from AVEK represents one of your sources of water, we have included a summary of results for all analyses completed in 2017 for your convenience. If you find that you need copies of individual monitoring reports please feel free to contact me and I will be happy to provide those for you.

In accordance with the Consumer Confidence Report (CCR) guidance manuals issued by the State Water Resources Control Board and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, we are herein providing you with the monitoring data and other information you will need to produce your CCR.

AVEK provides some treated water to our customers in Acton by way of an intertie with Palmdale Water District (PWD). AVEK monitors the treated water quality provided by PWD at our Acton Water Treatment Plant before it reaches our first customer. The results of this monitoring have been included in this report. If you have specific questions regarding the quality of the raw water treated by Palmdale Water District, please contact them directly.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please call me at 661-943-3201. However, please do not designate AVEK or this office as your contact in your CCR. According to the State Board and EPA guidelines, the designated contact person should be someone from your system. While we are always happy to answer questions about AVEK water, we do not have the specific information necessary to answer questions about your water, blending practices or distribution systems.

Respectfully,

Justin Livesay
Laboratory Director

6500 WEST AVENUE N • PALMDALE, CALIFORNIA 93551 (661) 943-3201 • www.avek.org • info@avek.org

KEITH DYAS Division 2 President

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

SHELLEY SORSABAL Division 1 Vice President

FRANK S. DONATO Division 3

> JUSTIN G. LANE Division 4

ROBERT A. PARRIS Division 5

MARLON BARNES Division 6

GARY VAN DAM Division 7

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

2017 Annual Water Quality Report

We are pleased to provide you with this year's Annual Water Quality Report. We want to keep you informed about the excellent water we have delivered to you over the past year. Our goal is, and always has been, to provide to you a safe supply of drinking water.

Our main water source is the State Water Project, California Aqueduct. The State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) has assessed the vulnerability of the State Water Project as to possible contaminating activities. The assessment's description and discussion of vulnerability is as follows:

"The California Aqueduct originates at the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta at Clifton Court Forebay. Water in the Delta originates in the Sacramento River watershed, the San Joaquin watershed, and the watershed drainage from the Mokelumne River, Stanislaus River, Merced River and several smaller rivers that drain the eastern slopes of the Sierra Nevadas. Located in these drainage areas are a broad variety of potential sources of contamination including municipal, industrial and agricultural activities. Also influencing the quality of water pumped from the Delta is the impact of the estuarial nature of the Delta and the naturally occurring salt-water intrusion which is dependent to a large extent on the inflow from the contributing rivers.

The possible contaminating activities present within the California Aqueduct watershed are described in the State Water Project Watershed Sanitary Survey conducted by the California Department of Water Resources and their consultants in 1990 and updated in 2016."

Our alternative water source is State Water Project water which has been stored in the aquifer at various underground storage facilities (i.e. "water banks") and is recovered for water quality purposes or supply purposes during times of drought. The vulnerability of the facilities was assessed in 2014 as follows:

"The wells are most vulnerable to contaminants from activities such as herbicide use along transportation corridors or road right-of-ways; agricultural/irrigation wells; irrigated crops; application of fertilizer, pesticides, and herbicides; agricultural drainage; and the raw State Water Project surface water used to recharge the groundwater basins. Other potential contaminating activities include the potential presence of certain unknown activities such as unregistered underground storage tanks."

A copy of these assessments may be viewed at, Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency, 6500 West Avenue N, Palmdale, CA 93551.

If you have any questions about this report or the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency, please contact Justin Livesay, Laboratory Director at 661-943-3201. We want our valued customers to be informed about our Water Agency. If you want to learn more, please attend any of our regularly scheduled Board meetings. They are held on the second and fourth Tuesday of every month, 6:30 PM, at the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency Office, 6500 West Avenue N, Palmdale, CA, 93551.

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency routinely monitors for contaminants in our drinking water according to Federal and State laws. The table in this report, "2017 Annual Water Quality Report", shows the results of our monitoring for the period of January 1st to December 31st, 2017.

All drinking water, including bottled drinking water, may be reasonably expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. It is important to remember that the presence of these contaminants does not necessarily pose a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the Environmental Protection Agency's Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 1-800-426-4791.

We have learned through our monitoring and testing that some contaminants have been detected, however, we are proud to report that our drinking water meets or exceeds all State and Federal requirements.

Total Coliform: Water systems are required to meet a strict standard for coliform bacteria. Coliform bacteria are usually harmless, but their presence in water can be an indication of disease-causing bacteria. When coliform bacteria are found, special follow-up tests are done to determine if harmful bacteria are present in the water supply. If the standard is exceeded, the water supplier must notify the public by newspaper, television or radio.

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. EPA/CDC guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and other microbiological contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (800-426-4791).

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency 2017 Annual Water Quality Report - Los Angeles County System

The Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency provides treated surface water as a source of drinking water.

Treatment technique: Conventional

EPA Turbidity Performance Standards: Turbidity of the filtered water must:

1. Be less than or equal to 0.30 NTU in 95% of measurements in a month.

2. Not exceed 1 NTU at any time.

Lowest monthly percentage of samples that met Turbidity Performance Standard No. 1: 100%

Highest single turbidity measurement during the year: 0.14 NTU

Percentage of samples < 0.30 NTU: 100%

The number of violations of any surface water treatment requirements:

NONE

Turbidity (measured in NTU) is a measurement of the cloudiness of water and is a good indicator of water quality and filtration performance. Turbidity results which meet performance standards are considered to be in compliance with filtration requirements.

The Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency also provides groundwater as a source of drinking water.

Treatment technique: Chlorination

EPA Groundwater Rule: AVEK meets the requirements of the Groundwater Rule by providing a minimum of 4-log reduction of viruses by continously providing a minimum free chlorine residual of 0.5 mg/L leaving the clearwell.

Lowest single free chlorine residual measurement during the year:

Number of violations of the Groundwater Rule:

0.52

	MICROBIOLOGICAL CONTAMINANTS							
Type of Sample(s)	Parameter	Sampling Frequency	MCI	No. of Months in	System R	Results		
Type of Sample(s)	<u>Parameter</u>	Sampling Frequency	<u>MCL</u>	Violation	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>		
Distribution	Total Coliform Bacteria	124 - 155 / mo	5% positive	None	0 - 1.6%	0%		
Distribution	Fecal Coliform/E. coli	124 - 155 / mo	1 pos. with 2 TC pos.	None	0%	0%		
Raw Influent	Cryptosporidium	6 / mo	N/A*	N/A*	0-0.1 oocysts/L	0 oocysts/L		

^{*}Cryptosporidium monitoring is performed at our Acton, Eastside, and Quartz Hill treatment plant influent in accordance with the EPA's LT2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule. This monitoring aims to assess the risk of cryptosporidium in our raw water supply and determine if additional treatment will be necessary.

	INORGANIC CONTAMINANTS															
										RE:	SULTS					
					Acton	Plant	Eastsid	de Plant	Quartz	Hill Plant	Raw In	ıfluent	Water Bank			
				PHG or	Effluent	Effluent (CWR)		Effluent (CWR)		Effluent (CWR) (State Wa		Water Project) Effluen		nt (CWR) Wells		ls
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	(MCLG)	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>
Aluminum	mg/L	1	0.05	0.6		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		0.050			ND-0.032	0.009
Antimony	μg/L	6	6	1		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Arsenic	μg/L	10	2	0.004		ND		ND		ND		2.4	3.3-4.9	3.9	ND-17	4.4
Barium	mg/L	1	0.1	2		0.016		0.024		0.020		0.023			0.018-0.120	0.060
Beryllium	μg/L	4	1	1		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Cadmium	μg/L	5	1	0.04		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Chromium (Total)	μg/L	50	10			ND		1.8		ND		ND			2.2-6.0	4.1
Chromium (Hexavalent)	μg/L	*	1	0.02		0.070		1.7		0.082		0.066			2.3-5.4	3.7
Cyanide	μg/L	150	100	150		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Fluoride	mg/L	2	0.1	1		0.087		0.088		ND		0.079			0.11-0.38	0.22
Mercury	μg/L	2	1	1.2		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Nickel	μg/L	100	10	12		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND-6.9	1.1
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	10	0.4	10		ND		0.60		0.50	0.16-0.58	0.36			0.22-7.0	4.3
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	1	0.4	1		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Nitrate+Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	10		10		ND		0.60		0.50		0.44			0.22-7.0	3.5
Perchlorate	μg/L	6	4	1		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Selenium	μg/L	50	5	30		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Thallium	μg/L	2	1	0.1		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Asbestos	MFL	7	0.2	7												ND

^{*}There is currently no MCL for hexavalent chromium. The previous MCL of 0.010 mg/L was withdrawn on September 11, 2017.

GENERAL PHYSICAL AND SECONDARY STANDARDS

							<u>KE</u>	<u>30L13</u>						
				Actor	Plant	Eastside Plant		Quartz Hill Plant		Raw Influent		Water	: Bank	
				Effluent (CWR)		Effluent (CWR)		Effluent (CWR)		(State Water Project)		Wells		
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	
Aluminum	μg/L	200	50		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		50	ND-32	9.2	
Calcium	mg/L	no standard			16		23		14		15	30-110	63	
Chloride	mg/L	250			67		36		43		48	9.6-130	66	

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency 2017 Annual Water Quality Report - Los Angeles County System Actor Plant | Fastside Plant | Quartz Hill Plant |

				Acton Plant		Eastside Plant		Quartz Hill Plant		Raw Influent		Water	Bank
				Effluent	Effluent (CWR)		Effluent (CWR)		(CWR)	(State Water Project)		We	ells
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>
Color	Units	15		<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5		10	<5	<5
Copper	μg/L	1000	50		ND		6.3		ND		2.3	ND-3.1	1.0
Foaming Agents (MBAS)	mg/L	0.5			ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND
Hardness (Total) as CaCO3	mg/L	no standard			73		86		69		72	80-350	190
Iron	μg/L	300	100		ND		ND		ND		78	ND-110	35
Magnesium	mg/L	no standard			8.0		6.9		8.2		8.4	1.2-18	8.4
Manganese	μg/L	50	20		ND		ND		ND		7.5	ND-4.2	ND
Odor @ 60 C	Units	3	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1		1	<1	<1
рН	Units	no standard		6.3-8.3	6.86	6.1-8.0	6.95	6.1-7.4	6.57	7.2-8.9	7.79	7.3-8.1	7.63
Silver	μg/L	100	10		ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND
Sodium	mg/L	no standard			40		35		32		33	34-67	48
Specific Conductance	μmhos	900						121-630	275	101-612	264	330-1000	572
Sulfate	mg/L	250	0.5		20		41		37		21	44-76	57
Thiobencarb (Bolero)	μg/L	1	1		ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	μg/L	5	3		ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	500			180		200		180		180	220-600	388
Turbidity	Units	5		0.02-0.14	0.05	0.01-0.12	0.02	0.01-0.14	0.05	0.29-62.7	8.23	0.02-0.94	0.03
Zinc	mg/L	5.0	0.050		0.660		0.330		0.440		ND	ND	ND
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/L	no standard			50		65		40	28-74	48	96-230	143
Bicarbonate Alkalinity(as HCO3)	mg/L	no standard			60		79		48		74	120-280	177
Carbonate (as CO3)	mg/L	no standard			ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND
Hydroxide (as OH)	mg/L	no standard			ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND

RADIOLOGICAL C	CONTAMINANTS
----------------	--------------

					<u>RESULTS</u>			
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	<u>PHG</u>	Raw Influent (State Water Project)	Water I Wel		
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	15	3		· '	ND-6.6	1.6	
Gross Beta	pCi/L	50	4		ND	ND-3.9	1.2	
Strontium 90	pCi/L	8	2	0.35	ND	ND	ND	
Tritium	pCi/L	20,000	1,000	400	ND	ND	ND	
Uranium	pCi/L	20	1	0.43	 	1.3-9.3	3.5	
Radium 228	pCi/L		1	0.019	 	ND	ND	
Radium 226	pCi/L		1	0.05	1	ND	ND	

VOLATILE ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS

					<u>RESULTS</u>		
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	DLR	<u>PHG</u>	State Water Project	Water B	ank Wells
<u>r drameter</u>	Office				<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>
1,1,1-Trichlorethane (1,1,1-TCA)	μg/L	200	0.5	1000	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/L	1	0.5	0.1	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA)	μg/L	5	0.5	0.3	ND	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	μg/L	5	0.5	3	ND	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethylene (1,1-DCE)	μg/L	6	0.5	10	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/L	5	0.5	5	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene (o-DCB)	μg/L	600	0.5	600	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.4	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	μg/L	5	0.5	0.5	ND	ND	ND
1,3-Dichloropropene (Total)	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.2	ND	ND	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene (p-DCB)	μg/L	5	0.5	6	ND	ND	ND
Benzene	μg/L	1	0.5	0.15	ND	ND	ND
Carbon tetrachloride	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.1	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene (c-1,2-DCE)	μg/L	6	0.5	100	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L				ND	ND	ND
Dichloromethane (Methylene Chloride)	μg/L	5	0.5	4	ND	ND	ND
Ethylbenzene	μg/L	300	0.5	300	ND	ND	ND
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	μg/L	13	3	13	ND	ND	ND
Monochlorobenzene (Chlorobenzene)	μg/L	70	0.5	70	ND	ND	ND
Styrene	μg/L	100	0.5	0.5	ND	ND	ND

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency 2017 Annual Water Quality Report - Los Angeles County System

Parameter	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	<u>PHG</u>	State Water Project	Water B	ank \
<u>i didiffeter</u>	Office	WICL	DLIX	1110	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>A۱</u>
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	μg/L	5	0.5	0.06	ND	ND	
Toluene	μg/L	150	0.5	150	ND	ND	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene (t-1,2-DCE)	μg/L	10	0.5	60	ND	ND	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L				ND	ND	
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	μg/L	5	0.5	1.7	ND	ND	
Trichlorofluromethane (Freon11)	μg/L	150	5	1300	ND	ND	
Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113)	μg/L	1200	10	4000	ND	ND	
Vinyl Chloride (VC)	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.05	ND	ND	
Xylenes (Total)	μg/L	1750	0.5	1800	ND	ND	

CVAITLIE		ANIC	LIEVIO	
SYNTHE	ロに いだい	AINIC, C	HEIVIIC	AI 5

					3 TH THE HE ORGANIC CHEMICALS					
						<u>.</u>	RESULTS	•		_
Parameter	<u>Units</u>	MCL	DLR (DL)	<u>PHG</u>		State Water Proj	ject	Water Ba	ank Wells	
			DER (DE)			Range Av	<u>rerage</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	
Alachlor	μg/L	2	1	4				ND	ND	
Atrazine	μg/L	1	0.5	0.15				ND	ND	
Bentazon	μg/L	18	2	200				ND	ND	
Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/L	0.2	0.1	0.007				ND	ND	
Carbofuran	μg/L	18	5	0.7				ND	ND	
Chlordane	μg/L	0.1	0.1	0.03				ND	ND	
2,4-D	μg/L	70	10	20				ND	ND	
Dalapon	μg/L	200	10	790				ND	ND	
Dibromochloropropane (DBCP)	μg/L	0.2	0.01	0.0017				ND	ND	
Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	μg/L	400	5	200				ND	ND	
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	μg/L	4	3	12				ND	ND	
Dinoseb	μg/L	7	2	14				ND	ND	
Diquat	μg/L	20	4	6				ND	ND	
Endothall	μg/L	100	45	94				ND	ND	
Endrin	μg/L	2	0.1	0.3				ND	ND	
Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)	μg/L	0.05	0.02	0.01				ND	ND	
Glyphosate	μg/L	700	25	900				ND	ND	
Heptachlor	μg/L	0.01	0.01	0.008				ND	ND	
Heptachlor Epoxide	μg/L	0.01	0.01	0.006				ND	ND	
Hexachlorobenzene	μg/L	1	0.5	0.03				ND	ND	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	μg/L	50	1	2				ND	ND	
Lindane	μg/L	0.2	0.2	0.032				ND	ND	
Methoxychlor	μg/L	30	10	0.09				ND	ND	
Molinate	μg/L	20	2	1				ND	ND	
Oxamyl	μg/L	50	20	26				ND	ND	
Pentachlorophenol	μg/L	1	0.2	0.3				ND	ND	
Picloram .	μg/L	500	1	166				ND	ND	
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.09				ND	ND	
Simazine	μg/L	4	1	4				ND	ND	
Thiobencarb (Bolero)	μg/L	70	1	42				ND	ND	
Toxaphene	μg/L	3	1	0.03				ND	ND	
2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)	pg/L	30	5	0.05				ND	ND	
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	μg/L	50	1	3				ND	ND	
	. 5			DISINFEC	TION RESIDUAL, PRECURSORS, and BYPRODUCTS					

Type of Sample(s)	Parameter	<u>Units</u>	MCL/MRDL	DLR	MRDLG	RESULTS		
Type of Sample(s)	<u>r arameter</u>	Office	WICE/WINDL	DLIN	MINDLG	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	
Distribution	Chlorine (as total CI2)	mg/L	4.0		4	0.08-1.76	1.07	
Treated Water	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	Treatment Requirement	0.3		1.2 - 2.5	1.7	
State Water Project	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	Treatment Requirement	0.3		2.7 - 5.8	3.6	
Distribution	Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total Trihalomethanes	μg/L	80**			9.0 - 69	49 #	
Distribution	Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total Haloacetic Acids	μg/L	60**			ND - 18	15 #	
Treated Water	Bromate	μg/L	10 ⁺	5		ND - 3.1	1.1	

^{**} Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total THMs and Total HAAs compliance is based upon Locational Running Annual Averages. # Location with the highest TTHM average

⁺ Compliance is based on the running annual average computed quarterly, of monthly samples, collected at the entrance to the distribution system.

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency 2017 Annual Water Quality Report - Los Angeles County System

DEFINITIONS and FOOTNOTES:

Plant Effluent, CWR, is finished, treated drinking water.

Raw Water is the Source Water, the California Aqueduct, prior to treatment.

Units: mg/L = milligrams per liter, parts per million (ppm)

μg/L = micrograms per liter, parts per billion (ppb)

pg/L = picograms per liter, parts per quadrillion (ppq)

µmhos = micromhos, a measure of specific conductance

MFL = million fibers per liter

pCi/L = pico Curies per liter

< = less than

> = greater than

ND = none detected above the DLR

NTU = nephelometric turbidity unit is a measure of the clarity of water. Turbidity in excess of 5 NTU is just noticeable to the average person.

MCL: Maximum Contaminant Level. The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. MCLs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or the State Water Resources Control Board as close to the PHGs and MCLGs as is economically or technologically feasible.

MRDL: Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level. The level of a disinfectant added for water treatment that may not be exceeded at the consumer's tap.

DLR: Detection Limit for purposes of Reporting.

(DL): Detection limit determined by the Laboratory when no DLR has been established.

MCLG: The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

MRDLG: Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal. The level of a disinfectant added for water treatment below which there is no known or expected risk to health.

MRDLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

PHG: Public Health Goal: The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.

Primary Drinking Water Standard: Primary MCLs, specific treatment techniques adopted in lieu of primary MCLs, and monitoring and reporting requirements for MCLs that are specified in regulations.

Secondary Standards: Aesthetic standards established by the State Water Resources Control Board.

AL: Action Level. There is no MCL, if this level is exceeded, action is required by the State Water Resources Control Board.

All analyses performed by ELAP certified laboratories: AVEK Water Agency, Eurofins Eaton Analytical Laboratories, or Eurofins subcontract lab.

STAGE 2 DISINFECTION BYPRODUCT RULE TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANE (TTHM) QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT

Water	System	Name:
44 00000	DISTURN	T 488188 - 0

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

System No.

1910045

MP1 02/24/17	Monitorir MP2	ng Periods MP3	TTHM (p				
***************************************	MP2	МРЗ	BADA				
02/24/17			(Current Qtr)	LRAA (TTHM)	Meets Standard? (Y/N)	OEL (TTHM)	OEL (Y/N)
	05/18/17	08/17/17	11/16/17		(1)111		(17.07
69	43	38	47	49.25	Y	43.75	N
29	15	23	34	25.25	Υ	26.50	N
26	13	12	22	18.25	Y	17.25	N
37	18	20	30	26.25	Υ	24.50	N
38	9.0	17	32	24.00	Υ	22.50	N
a file	Ì						
			L			_	
							1
						3.77	
	1	1					1
							<u> </u>
	1	159/19					
	29 26 37	29 15 26 13 37 18	29 15 23 26 13 12 37 18 20	29 15 23 34 26 13 12 22 37 18 20 30	29 15 23 34 25.25 26 13 12 22 18.25 37 18 20 30 26.25	29 15 23 34 25.25 Y 26 13 12 22 18.25 Y 37 18 20 30 26.25 Y	29 15 23 34 25.25 Y 26.50 26 13 12 22 18.25 Y 17.25 37 18 20 30 26.25 Y 24.50

Comments:	

Note: If your OEL is higher than the TTHM MCL at any location in the distribution system, you must conduct an operational evaluation by examining the system treatment and distribution operational practices, including: storage tank operations; excess storage capacity; distribution system flushing; changes in sources or source water quality; treatment changes; and any problems that may contribute to TTHM formation. From this evaluation you must identify what steps could be taken to minimize future OEL exceedances: Please submit your operational evaluation report to the State for review within 90 days.

Name & Title of Person Submitting Report

Justin Livesay - Laboratory Director

ate 12/11/2017

STAGE 2 DISINFECTION BYPRODUCT RULE HALOACETIC ACIDS (HAA5) QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT

Water System Nan	ne	-
------------------	----	---

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

S	stem	N	n
21	SICILI	1.4	U.

1910045

	HAA5 (ppb)									
	Monitori	ng Periods		1 111		\Box				
MP1	MP2	МРЗ	MP4 (Current Qtr)	LRAA (HAA5)		OEL (HAAS)	Exceed OEL (Y/N)			
2/24/2017*	05/18/17	08/17/17	11/16/17		(1714)		(17/4)			
5.3	6.3	3.3	5.3	5.05	Y	5.05	N			
28	12	5.6	14	14.90	Υ	11.40	N			
7.6	2.0	ND	5.2	3.70	Y	3.10	N			
9.0	3.6	3.0	5.7	5.33	Y	4.50	N			
18	3.1	4.5	8.6	8.55	Y	6.20	N			
	2/24/2017* 5.3 28 7.6 9.0	MP1 MP2 2/24/2017* 05/18/17 5.3 6.3 28 12 7.6 2.0 9.0 3.6	2/24/2017* 05/18/17 08/17/17 5.3 6.3 3.3 28 12 5.6 7.6 2.0 ND 9.0 3.6 3.0	Monitoring Periods MP1 MP2 MP3 MP4 (Current Qtr) 2/24/2017* 05/18/17 08/17/17 11/16/17 5.3 6.3 3.3 5.3 28 12 5.6 14 7.6 2.0 ND 5.2 9.0 3.6 3.0 5.7	Monitoring Periods MP1 MP2 MP3 MP4 (Current Qtr) LRAA (HAA5) 2/24/2017* 05/18/17 08/17/17 11/16/17 5.3 6.3 3.3 5.3 5.05 28 12 5.6 14 14.90 7.6 2.0 ND 5.2 3.70 9.0 3.6 3.0 5.7 5.33	Monitoring Periods MP1 MP2 MP3 MP4 (Current Qtr) LRAA (HAA5) Meets Standard? (Y/N) 2/24/2017* 05/18/17 08/17/17 11/16/17 11/16/17 Y 5.3 6.3 3.3 5.3 5.05 Y 28 12 5.6 14 14.90 Y 7.6 2.0 ND 5.2 3.70 Y 9.0 3.6 3.0 5.7 5.33 Y	Monitoring Periods MP1 MP2 MP3 MP4 (Current Qtr) LRAA (HAA5) Meets Standard? (Y/N) OEL (HAA5) 2/24/2017* 05/18/17 08/17/17 11/16/17 5.05 Y 5.05 28 12 5.6 14 14.90 Y 11.40 7.6 2.0 ND 5.2 3.70 Y 3.10 9.0 3.6 3.0 5.7 5.33 Y 4.50			

Comments: *Vincent HAA5 re-collected 3/15/17 after laboratory QC error precluded reporting of original sample.	

Note: If your OEL is higher than the HAA5 MCL at any location in the distribution system, you must conduct an operational evaluation by examining the system treatment and distribution operational practices, including: storage tank operations; excess storage capacity; distribution system flushing; changes in sources or source water quality; treatment changes; and any problems that may contribute to HAA5 formation. From this evaluation you must identify what steps could be taken to minimize future OEL exceedances: Please submit your operational evaluation report to the State for review within 90 days.

Name & Title of Person Submitting Report

Justin Livesay - Laboratory Director

Date

12/11/2017

Quarterly Bromate Report for Disinfection Byproducts Compliance (in µg/L or ppb)

System Name: Antelope Va	alley-Ea	st Kern	Water A	gency		Syste	em No.:	1	910045		Year:	201	7	Quarte	r:	4th				
	1000	20	16			1s	t Qtr.			2n	d Qtr.		3rd Qtr.			4th Qtr.				
Sample Date (month/date):	1st Q	2nd Q	3rd Q	4th Q	1/11	2/8	3/8	Quarterly Average	4/12	5/10	6/14	Quarterly Average	7/12	8/9	9/13	Quarterly Average	10/11	11/8	12/13	Quarterly Average
Site 1 - QHWTP	0.0	6.5	2.4	3.8	OFF	ND	OFF	0.0	ND	ND	ND	0.0	ND	ND	4.0	1.3	ND	3.4	ND	1.1
Site 2 - EWTP	2,9	6.4	4.8	2.6	ND	ND	ND	0.0	ND	ND	ND	0.0	ND	ND	ND	0.0	3.1	ND	ND	1.0
Site 3 - AWTP	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF		OFF	OFF	OFF									
System Quarterly Average	1.5	6.5	3.6	3.2				0.0				0.0	إيرانيا		JEBRA	0.7		78		1.1
Running Annual Average				3.7				3.3			M-il	1.7				1.0			-	0.4
Meets Standard?* (check box)								Yes 🗸 No				Yes 🗸 No 🗌				Yes 🗸 No 🗌				Yes ✓ No

Identify the sample locations in the table below.

Site	Sample Location					
1	Quartz Hill Clear Well Reservoir					
2	Eastside Clear Well Reservoir					
3	Acton Clear Well Reservoir					

Comments: Samples collected at the entry point to the distribution system for each treatment plant using ozone. "OFF" denotes treatment plant shutdown or ozone system shutdown.

Signature

Jate

*If, during the first year of monitoring, any individual quarter's average will cause the running annual average of that system to exceed the standard, then the system is out of compliance at the end of that quarter.

Quarterly Report for Disinfectant Residuals Compliance For Systems Using Chlorine or Chloramines

System Name:	Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency	System No.:		1910045
Calendar Year:	2017	Quarter:	4th	

	Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)
Г	April		1.03
	May		0.93
	June		1.03
į	July		1.18
Previous Year	August		1.11
ě	September		0.98
	October		0.99
ŀ	November		0.95
	December		1.01
8	January	155	1.03
Current Year	February	124	0.96
ð	March	124	1.07
A	unning Annual	1.02	
	eets standard? e. RAA < MRDL	YES	

Г	roszerok a	2nd Quarter	T. B. AA. WINEAU I
	Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)
Г	July		1.18
10	August		1.11
ns Ye	September		0.98
Previous Year	October		0.99
Æ	November		0.95
	December		1.01
Г	January		1.03
Ē	February		0.96
Current Year	March		1.07
Termin .	April	124	1.04
Ö	May	154	1.07
	June	124	1.07
R	unning Annual	1.04	
	eets standard? e. RAA < MRDL	YES	

		3rd Quarter	
	Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)
ž	October		0.99
Previous Yr	November		0.95
폱	December		1.01
	January		1.03
	February		0.96
П	March		1.07
ğ	April		1.04
Current Year	May		1.07
Ş	June		1.07
П	July	124	1.09
ı	August	155	1.14
	September	124	1.15
R	unning Annual /	1.05	
8330	eets standard? e. RAA < MRDL o	YES	

4th Quarter							
Month		Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)				
Current Year	January	7 3 3 3	1.03				
	February		0.96				
	March		1.07				
	April		1.04				
	Мау		1.07				
	June		1.07				
	July		1.09				
	August		1.14				
	September		1.15				
	October	155	1.12				
	November	130	1.02				
	December	124	1.03				
R	unning Annual	1.07					
350	eets standard? e. RAA < MRDL	YES					

Comments:		
Signature:	_ Date:	1/3/2018

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

LA System No. 1910045 TOC Removal Running Annual Average

Sample		Alkalinity	Raw TOC	Treated TOC	Actual %	Required %	"TOC Removal Ratio
Date	Plant	mgCaCO3/L	mg/L	mg/L	TOC reduction	TOC reduction	actual % /required %
1/6/2017	QHWTP	73.5	3.33	1.79	46.2	25	1.8
1/11/2017	EWTP	73.9	3.51	2.05	41.6	25	1.7
II	AWTP	plant off					
2/8/2017	QHWTP	49.1	5.84	2.34	59.9	45	1.3
"	EWTP	50.1	5.82	2.50	57.0	45	1.3
II .	AWTP	plant off	0.02		33	.0	
3/8/2017	QHWTP	47.7	5.64	2.03	64.0	45	1.4
3/0/2017	EWTP	47.3	5.70	2.16	62.1	45	1.4
11	AWTP		3.70	2.10	02.1	43	1.4
	AWIP	plant off					
4/12/2017	QHWTP	39.9	3.77	1.48	60.7	35	1.7
II	EWTP	40.3	4.11	1.81	56.0	45	1.2
II	AWTP	plant off					
5/10/2017	QHWTP	33.6	3.22	1.48	54.0	35	1.5
3/10/2017	EWTP	35.2	3.22 3.47	1.50	54.0 56.8	35 35	1.6
II .	AWTP	plant off	5.47	1.50	30.0	33	1.0
	AVVIF	piant on					
6/14/2017	QHWTP	35.5	2.90	1.37	52.8	35	1.5
II .	EWTP	34.9	3.11	1.57	49.5	35	1.4
II	AWTP	plant off					
7/12/2017	QHWTP	29.2	2.93	1.35	53.9	35	1.5
"	EWTP	28.4	3.19	1.39	56.4	35	1.6
II.	AWTP	plant off	3.19	1.59	30.4	33	1.0
	AWIF	plant on					
8/9/2017	QHWTP	43.6	2.94	1.34	54.4	35	1.6
II .	EWTP	45.4	3.12	1.48	52.6	35	1.5
II	AWTP	plant off					
9/13/2017	QHWTP	49.4	2.68	1.23	54.1	35	1.5
"	EWTP	50.8	2.86	1.49	47.9	35	1.4
II .	AWTP	plant off	2.00	1.43	47.5	33	1.4
	AVV 11	planton					
10/11/2017	QHWTP	58.3	2.94	1.57	46.6	35	1.3
II .	EWTP	55.9	2.88	1.70	41.0	35	1.2
II	AWTP	plant off					
11/8/2017	QHWTP	57.5	2.92	1.62	44.5	35	1.3
"	EWTP	54.8	2.92	1.72	44.5	35	1.2
11	AWTP	plant off	∠.ਹ ੀ	1.12	71.5	33	1.4
	\(\frac{1}{2}\)	ριαπ οπ					
12/13/2017	QHWTP	62.8	2.92	1.63	44.2	25	1.8
II	EWTP	63.7	3.04	1.93	36.5	25	1.5
II	AWTP	plant off					
	Minimum	28.4	2.7	1.2	36.5		
	Maximum	73.9	5.8	2.5	64.0		
	[//[A X	7.1 %			()4 ()		

Running Annual Average (RAA) 1.5

Title 22 California Code of Regulations, Chapter 15.5, Article 5:

Required percent TOC reduction**

Table 64536.2-A Source Water Alkalinity Raw TOC 0-60 <60 - 120 >120 >2.0 - 4.0 35.0 % 25.0 % 15.0 % >4.0 - 8.0 25.0 % 45.0 % 35.0 % >8.0 50.0 % 40.0 % 30.0 %

- 1. The system's source water TOC level, prior to any treatment is less than or equal to 2.0 mg/L $\,$
- 2. The system's treated water TOC level is less than or equal to 2.0 mg/L
- 3. The system's source water SUVA, prior to any treatment, is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m $\,$
- 4. The system's finished water SUVA is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m
- 5. A system practicing softening removes at least 10 mg/L of magnesium hardness (as CaCO3)
- 6. A system practicing enhanced softening lowers alkalinity below 60 mg/L (as CaCO3)

^{**}If one or more of the section 64636.4(b) 1-6 conditions are met, the system may assign a monthly value of 1 for the TOC removal ratio in lieu of the calculated value.

List condition when used: