### 2020 Consumer Confidence Report

Water System Name:	Edgemont Acres Mutual W	ater Co Report Date: September 15, 2021
We test the drinking wa the results of our monito	ter quality for many constituents or oring for the period of January 1 -	as required by state and federal regulations. This report shows December 31, 2020 and may include earlier monitoring data.
Este informe contiene entienda bien.	información muy importante so	bre su agua potable. Tradúzcalo ó hable con alguien que lo
Type of water source(s)	in use: Antelope Valley East K	Kern Water Agency Aqueduct System
Name & general location	on of source(s): AVEK Kern Co	unty System
2020 Annual Water Qual	ity Report - Kern County System - An	ntelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency (avek.org)
Drinking Water Source	Assessment information: Available	able at Company Office and online at
	larly scheduled board meetings for office at 16638 Vista Del Oro, No.	rpublic participation: 5:00pm and 4 <sup>th</sup> Wednesday each
For more information, o	contact: Todd Amon	Phone: ( 760 )769-4764
	TERMS USED	IN THIS REPORT
level of a contamina water. Primary MCLs MCLGs) as is eco feasible. Secondary Maximum Contaminately level of a contaminant there is no known or	nant Level (MCL): The highest and that is allowed in drinking are set as close to the PHGs (or momically and technologically MCLs are set to protect the odor, of drinking water.  nant Level Goal (MCLG): The t in drinking water below which expected risk to health. MCLGs nvironmental Protection Agency	Primary Drinking Water Standards (PDWS): MCLs and MRDLs for contaminants that affect health along with their monitoring and reporting requirements, and water treatment requirements.  Secondary Drinking Water Standards (SDWS): MCLs for contaminants that affect taste, odor, or appearance of the drinking water. Contaminants with SDWSs do not affect the health at the MCL levels.  Treatment Technique (TT): A required process intended to
contaminant in drinkin known or expected ris	al (PHG): The level of a ng water below which there is no sk to health. PHGs are set by the ntal Protection Agency.	reduce the level of a contaminant in drinking water.  Regulatory Action Level (AL): The concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, triggers treatment or other requirements that a water system must follow.  Variances and Exemptions: State Board permission to
Maximum Residual The highest level of a	Disinfectant Level (MRDL): disinfectant allowed in drinking	exceed an MCL or not comply with a treatment technique under certain conditions.
disinfectant is neces	incing evidence that addition of a ssary for control of microbial	ND: not detectable at testing limit  ppm: parts per million or milligrams per liter (mg/L)
contaminants.		ppb: parts per billion or micrograms per liter (μg/L)
Maximum Residua (MRDLG): The level	al Disinfectant Level Goal of a drinking water disinfectant	ppt: parts per trillion or nanograms per liter (ng/L)
below which there is	s no known or expected risk to	ppq: parts per quadrillion or picogram per liter (pg/L)

pCi/L: picocuries per liter (a measure of radiation)

health. MRDLGs do not reflect the benefits of the use

of disinfectants to control microbial contaminants.

The sources of drinking water (both tap water and bottled water) include rivers, lakes, streams, ponds, reservoirs, springs, and wells. As water travels over the surface of the land or through the ground, it dissolves naturally-occurring minerals and, in some cases, radioactive material, and can pick up substances resulting from the presence of animals or from human activity.

### Contaminants that may be present in source water include:

- *Microbial contaminants*, such as viruses and bacteria, that may come from sewage treatment plants, septic systems, agricultural livestock operations, and wildlife.
- *Inorganic contaminants*, such as salts and metals, that can be naturally-occurring or result from urban stormwater runoff, industrial or domestic wastewater discharges, oil and gas production, mining, or farming.
- Pesticides and herbicides, that may come from a variety of sources such as agriculture, urban stormwater runoff, and residential uses.
- Organic chemical contaminants, including synthetic and volatile organic chemicals, that are by-products of industrial processes and petroleum production, and can also come from gas stations, urban stormwater runoff, agricultural application, and septic systems.
- Radioactive contaminants, that can be naturally-occurring or be the result of oil and gas production and mining activities.

In order to ensure that tap water is safe to drink, the USEPA and the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) prescribe regulations that limit the amount of certain contaminants in water provided by public water systems. State Board regulations also establish limits for contaminants in bottled water that provide the same protection for public health.

Table 1 lists all of the drinking water contaminants that were detected during the most recent sampling for the constituent. The presence of these contaminants in the water does not necessarily indicate that the water poses a health risk. The State Board allows us to monitor for certain contaminants less than once per year because the concentrations of these contaminants do not change frequently. Some of the data, though representative of the water quality, are more than one year old.

TABLE 1 – SAMPLING RESULTS SHOWING THE DETECTION OF COLIFORM BACTERIA										
Microbiological Contaminants (complete if bacteria detected)	Highest No. of Detections	No. of months in violation	MCL	MCLG	Typical Source of Bacteria					
Total Coliform Bacteria	(In a mo.)	0	More than 1 sample in a month with a detection	5%+	Naturally present in the environment					
Fecal Coliform or E. coli	(In the year)	0	A routine sample and a repeat sample detect total coliform and either sample also detects fecal coliform or <i>E. coli</i>	1+	Human and animal fecal waste					

	Analysis	MCL	
Chemical	Results	G/L	DLR G/L
Regulated Organ	ic Chemicals		
Bromodichloromethane	2.1		1.0
Bromoform	14		1.0
Chloroform (Trchloromethane)	ND		1.0
Dibromochloromethane	8.3	že.	1.0
Total Trihalomethanes (TTHMs)	25.0		1.0
Regulated Organ	ic Chemicals		
Monochloroacetic Acid (MCAA)	ND		2.0
Dichloroacetic Acid (DCAA)	ND		1.0
Trichloroacetic Acid (TCAA)	ND		1.0

Monobromoacetic Acid (MBAA)	ND	1.0
Dibromoacetic Acid (DBAA)	5.6	1.0
Haloacetic Acids (five)(HAA5)	5.6	2.0
Source: ST2S1 "16863 Foothill Ave"	8/20/20	

	Analysis	MCL	
Chemical	Results	G/L	DLR G/L
Regulated Organ	ic Chemicals	1	
Bromodichloromethane	2.0		1.0
Bromoform	14		1.0
Chloroform (Trchloromethane)	ND		1.0
Dibromochloromethane	8.2		1.0
Total Trihalomethanes (TTHMs)	24.0		
Regulated Organ	ic Chemicals		
Monochloroacetic Acid (MCAA)	ND	2 7 20 20	2.0
Dichloroacetic Acid (DCAA)	ND		1.0
Trichloroacetic Acid (TCAA)	ND		1.0
Monobromoacetic Acid (MBAA)	ND		1.0
Dibromoacetic Acid (DBAA)	3.7		1.0
Haloacetic Acids (five)(HAA5)	3.7		2.0
Source: ST2S2 "16976 Hillcrest Ave"	8/20/20	N/I	

### Additional General Information on Drinking Water

Drinking water, including bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that the water poses a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the USEPA's Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4791).

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. USEPA/Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and other microbial contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4791).

Summary Information for Violation of a MCL, MRDL, AL, TT, or Monitoring and Reporting Requirement: NONE

### Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency 2020 Annual Water Quality Report - Kern County System

The Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency provides treated surface water and treated groundwater as our sources of drinking water.

The Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency provides treated surface water and treated groundwater as our sources of directing water.

Treatment technique: Conventional

EPA Turbidity Performance Standards: Turbidity of the filtered water must:

1. Be less than or equal to 0.30 NTU in 95% of measurements in a month.

2. Not exceed 1 NTU at any time.

Lowest monthly percentage of samples that met Turbidity Performance Standard No. 1:

Highest single turbidity measurement during the year: 0.17 NTU

Percentage of samples < 0.30 NTU: 100%

The number of violations of any surface water treatment requirements: NONE

Turbidity (measured in NTU) is a measurement of the cloudiness of water and is a good indicator of water quality and filtration performance. Turbidity results which meet performance standards are considered to be in compliance with filtration requirements.

The Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency also provides chlorinated groundwater as an alternative source of drinking water.

Treatment technique: Chlorination

EPA Groundwater Rule: AVEK meets the requirements of the Groundwater Rule by providing a minimum of 4-log reduction of viruses by continously providing a minimum free chlorine residual of 0.5 mg/L leaving the clearwell.

Lowest single free chlorine residual measurement during the year: 0.72 Number of violations of the Groundwater Rule: NONE

		( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	MICROBIOLOGICAL CONTAMINANTS		System Results	0.00
Type of Sample(s)	Parameter	Sampling Frequency	MCL	No. of Months in Violation	Range Average	1
Distribution Distribution	Total Coliform Bacteria E. coli	54 - 70 / mo 54 - 70 / mo	5% positive 1 pos. with 2 TC pos.	None None	0% 0%	
	ABALTATT GLAD		INORGANIC CONTAMINANTS	<u>RESULTS</u>		

	water first a middle of the				2004, 1004, 0004, 0004, 0004, 0004			RES	JLTS			
					l I	Rosamo	and Plant			Water		
					Plant Efflu	ent (CWR)	Raw Influen	t (Sources)	Effluent			ells
Parameter	Units	MCL	DLR	PHG	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average
	μg/L	1000	50	600	62-130	96	ND	ND				1
Aluminum	μg/L	6	6	1		ND	ND	ND	100000 BBC M			4.9
Anumony	μg/L	10	.2	0.004	3.3-4.5	3.8	3.6-8.7	5.8	2.6-8.4	5.8	2.5-14	4.9
	μg/L	1000	100	2000		ND	ND	ND				1
Barlum	µg/L	4	1	1	1	ND	ND	ND				
Beryllium	μg/L	5	1	0.04		ND	ND	ND				
Cadmium	hg/L	50	10			ND	NO-14	ND				
Chromium (Total)	µg/L	•	1	0.02	3.5-8.9	5.6	5.7-15	9.4				
Chromium (Hexavalent)		150	100	150		ND	ND	ND				
Cyanide	μg/L	2	0.1	1		0.20	0.23-0.40	0.33	1			
Fluoride	mg/L	15	5.0	0.2		ND	ND	ND				
Lead	μg/L	2	1	1,2	1		ND	ND				
Mercury	µg/L	100	10	12	i	ND	ND	ND				
Nickel	μg/L	100	0.4	10	1	3.9	1.8-4.3	3.0			1.6-4.9	3.4
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	10	0.4	1	1	ND	ND	ND	1		ND	ND
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	1	0.4	10		3.9	3.6-7.9	6.4				
Nitrate+Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	10		10		ND	ND	ND			ND	ND
Perchlorate	μg/L	6	4	30	1	5.1	ND	ND				
Selenium	μg/L	50	5		1	ND	ND	ND	l			
Thallium	ua/L	2	1	0.1	1	ND	1			2017	5.	

\*There is currently no MCL for hexavalent chromium. The previous MCL of 0.010 mg/L was withdrawn on September 11, 2017.

### Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency 2020 Annual Water Quality Report - Kern County System

GENERAL PHYSICAL AND SECONDARY STANDARDS	and the second state of the second	
	그들은 내가 있는데 하는데 하는데 가장 하는데	

			98 10 100 1000	HYSICAL AND S		RESL	JLTS			De-al-	
					Rosamo	ond Plant			Water		
				Plant Efflue	ent (CWR)		t (Sources)		t (CWR)	We	
Parameter	Units	MCL	DLR	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average
Aluminum	µg/L	1000	50	62-130	96	ND	ND				
Calcium	mg/L	no standard			86	24-75	46				
Chloride	mg/L	250			96	39-82	56			<5	<5
Color	Units	15		l	<5	<5	<5			<2	~5
Copper	μg/L	1000	50	l	ND	ND	ND	l			
Foaming Agents (MBAS)	mg/L	0.5		l	ND	ND	ND				
lardness (Total) as CaCO3	mg/L	no standard		l		82-140	110				
on	μg/L	300	100	1	ND	ND	ND	1		ļ	
/agnesium	mg/L	no standard		1	11	5.4-10	8.4	1			
Manganese	μg/L	50	20	1	ND	ND	ND	1			121
	Units	3	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	i		<1	<1
Odor @ 60 C	Units	no standard		7.6-8.1	7.8	7.7~8.3	7.9			7.5-7.7	7.6
H	μg/L	100	10		ND	ND	ND	1		1	
Silver	mg/L	no standard		1	46	46-51	49			ļ	
Sodium	μmhos	900		1	690	410-670	560	1		490-790	670
specific Conductance		250	0.5		75	44-66	57	1			
Gulfate	mg/L	230	0.5		ND	ND	ND	1		ND	ND
hiobencarb (Bolero)	μg/L	5	3		ND	ND	ND	1		ND	ND
Aethyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	μg/L	500	3		490	220-420	310			1	
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	500		0.01-0.17	0.05	0.02-0.45	0.06	1		0.02-0.85	0.10
urbidity	Units		50	0.01-0.17	0.00	ND	ND				
linc	μg/L	5000	50		140	89-170	140			1	
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/L	no standard			140	110-130	120			1	
Bicarbonate Alkalinity(as HCO3)	mg/L	no standard		1		ND ND	ND	1		1	
Carbonate (as CO3)	mg/L	no standard		1		ND	ND	1		1	
Hydroxide (as OH)	mg/L	no standard		1		I MD	140	1			

	4.00		RA	DIOLOGICAL	CONTAMINAN	TS DES	ULTS		
Parameter	Units	MCL	DLR	PHG	Rosam	ond Plant ent Sources	Water We	Bank ills	
Gross Alpha Gross Beta Strontium 90 Tritium Uranium Radium 228 Radium 226	pCi/L pCi/L pCi/L pCi/L pCi/L pCi/L pCi/L	15 50 8 20,000 20	3 4 2 1,000 1 1	0.35 400 0.43 0.019 0.05	Range 3.3-6.7	Average 4.5 <3 <345	Range 4.3-7.5 3.7-4.4 <3 <318-<369 6.1-7.5	5.9 4.0 <3 <343 6.8	

MOLATIC	ORGANIC	CONITA	STHAINS

			The state of the s			RESU		
					Rosami	ond Plant	Wate	r Bank
					Raw Influe	nt (Sources)	W	ells
Parameter 1,1,1-Trichlorethane (1,1,1-TCA) 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,1,2-Trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA) 1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA) 1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCE) 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<u>Units</u> µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	MCL 200 1 5 5 6	DLR 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	PHG 1000 0.1 0.3 3 10 5	Range ND ND ND ND ND ND	Average ND ND ND ND ND ND	Range ND ND ND ND ND ND	Average ND ND ND ND ND ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene (o-DCB)	μg/L	600	0.5	600	ND	ND	ND	ND

### Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency 2020 Annual Water Quality Report - Kern County System

					Rosamo	and Plant		r Bank
					Raw Influer	nt (Sources)	W	ells
Parameter	Units	MCL	DLR	PHG	Range	Average	Range	Average
1.2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.4	ND	ND	ND	ND
1.2-Dichloropropane	µg/L	5	0.5	0.5	ND	ND	ND	ND
1.3-Dichloropropene (Total)	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.2	ND	ND	ND	ND
1.4-Dichlorobenzene (p-DCB)	μg/L	5	0.5	6	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benzene	μg/L	1	0.5	0.15	ND	ND	ND	ND
Carbon tetrachloride	µg/L	0.5	0.5	0.1	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene (c-1,2-DCE)	μg/L	6	0.5	100	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L				ND	ND	ND	ND
Dichloromethane (Methylene Chloride)	μg/L	5	0.5	4	ND	ND	ND	ND
	μg/L	300	0.5	300	ND	ND	ND	ND
Ethylbenzene		13	3	13	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	μg/L	70	0.5	70	ND	ND	ND	ND
Monochlorobenzene (Chlorobenzene)	µg/L	100	0.5	0.5	ND	ND	ND	ND
Styrene	μg/L	5	0.5	0.06	ND	ND	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	μg/L		0.5	150	ND	ND	ND	ND
Toluene	μg/L	150		60	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene (t-1,2-DCE)	µg/L	10	0.5	60	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	µg/L		0.5		ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	μg/L	5	0.5	1.7		ND	ND	ND
Trichlorofluromethane (Freen11)	μg/L	150	5	1300	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113)	µg/L	1200	10	4000	ND		ND	ND
Vinyi Chloride (VC)	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.05	ND	ND	ND	ND
Xvienes (Total)	µg/L	1750	0.5	1800	ND	ND	1 ND	NU

						RES		- to Mallion
						nt (Sources)		ank Wells
Parameter	Units	MCL	DLR (DL)	PHG	Range	Average	Range	Average
Nachlor	µg/L	2	1	4		ND	ND	ND
Atrazine	µg/L	1	0.5	0.15	1	ND	ND	ND
Bentazon	μg/L	18	2	200		ND	ND	ND
Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/L	0.2	0.1	0.007		ND	ND	ND
Carbofuran	μg/L	18	5	0.7	1	ND	ND	ND
Chlordane	μg/L	0.1	0.1	0.03		ND	ND	ND
.4-D	µg/L	70	10	20	1	ND	ND	ND
Dalapon	μg/L	200	10	790		ND	ND	
Dibromechloropropane (DBCP)	μg/L	0.2	0.01	0.0017	1	ND	ND	ND
i(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	μg/L	400	5	200		ND	ND	ND
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	μg/L	4	3	12		ND	ND	ND
Dinoseb	μg/L	7	2	14	1	ND	ND	ND
Diquat	μg/L	20	4	6		ND	ND	ND
Endothall	μg/L	100	45	94		ND	ND	ND
Endrin	μg/L	2	0.1	0.3	l .	ND	ND	ND
Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)	µg/L	0.05	0.02	0.01		ND	ND	ND
Glyphosate	μg/L	700	25	900		ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor	μg/L	0.01	0.01	0.008		ND	ND	ND
Heotachlor Epoxide	µg/L	0.01	0.01	0.006		ND	ND	ND
-iexachlorobenzene	μg/L	1	0.5	0.03	1	ND	ND	ND
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	μg/L	50	1	2	1	ND	ND	ND
Lindane	μg/L	0.2	0.2	0.032		ND	ND	ND
Methoxychior	μg/L	30	10	0.09	1	ND	ND	ND
Molinate	µg/L	20	2	1		ND	ND	ND
Oxamyl	μg/L	50	20	26	1	ND	ND	ND
Pentachlorophenol	µg/L	1	0.2	0.3	1	ND	ND	ND
Picloram	μg/L	500	1	166	1	ND	ND	ND

### Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency 2020 Annual Water Quality Report - Kern County System

					Raw Influe	nt (Sources)	Water Ba	ank Wells
Parameter Polychlorinated Biphenyls Simazine Thiobencarb (Bolero) Toxaphene 2.3.7.8-TCDD (Dioxin)	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	MCL 0.5 4 70 3 30	DLR (DL) 0.5 1 1 1 5	PHG 0.09 4 42 0.03 0.05	Range	Average ND ND ND ND ND ND	Range ND ND ND ND ND	Average ND ND ND ND ND
2,4,5-TP (Silvex) 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	μg/L μg/L	50 0.005	1 0.005	3 0.0007	11	ND	ND	ND

· leader in the contract of th		970						
7654 100 88			DISINFECTION RESIDUAL, PR	RECURSORS, ar	id BYPRODUCTS	RESU		
Type of Sample(s)	Parameter	Units	MCLIMRDL	DLR	MRDLG	Range	Average	
Distribution Treated Water Source Water Distribution	Chlorine (as total Cl2) Total Organic Carbon (TOC Total Organic Carbon (TOC Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total T	rihalomethanes μg/L	4.0** Treatment Requirement Treatment Requirement 80** 60**	0.3 0.3	4	0.15 - 1.5 0.53 - 1.3 0.54 - 1.2 15 - 29 2.1 - 4.6	1.00 0.72 0.70 24 # 3.7 #	
Distribution Treated Water	Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total I Bromate	μg/L	10*	5		-	-#	

<sup>\*\*</sup>Running Annual Average of distribution system samples. The MCLs are based upon Running Annual Averages.

Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total THMs and Total HAAs compliance is based upon Locational Running Annual Averages. # Location with the highest TTHM average

### DEFINITIONS and FOOTNOTES:

Plant Effluent, CWR, is finished, treated drinking water.
Raw Water is the Source Water, the California Aqueduct or wells, prior to treatment.
Units: mg/L = milligrams per liter, parts per million (ppm)

µg/L = micrograms per liter, parts per billion (ppb) pg/L = picograms per liter, parts per quadrillion (ppq)

µmhos ≈ micromhos, a measure of specific conductance pCi/L = pico Curies per liter

< = less than

> = greater than

ND = none detected above the DLR

NU = none detected above the DLN.

NTU = none detected above the DLN.

NTU = nephelometric turbidity unit is a measure of the clarity of water. Turbidity in excess of 5 NTU is just noticeable to the average person.

MCL: Maximum Contaminant Level. The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. MCLs are set by the US Environmental Protection Agency or the State Water Resources Control Board as MCL: Maximum Contaminant Level. The highest level of a contaminant tracts and work in forming Match. Mode to each of the Contaminant tracts and work in the contaminant tracts and the

DLR: Detection Limit for purposes of Reporting.

(DL): Detection limit determined by the Laboratory when no DLR has been established.

MCLG: The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

MRDLG: Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal. The level of a disinfectant added for water treatment below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs are set by the US Environmental MRDLGs.

Protection Agency.

Protection Agency.

PHG: Public Health Goal. The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard

PHG: Public Health Goal. The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard

Primary Drinking Water Standard: Primary MCLs, specific treatment techniques adopted in lieu of primary MCLs, and monitoring and reporting requirements for MCLs that are specified in regulations.

Secondary Standards: Aesthetic standards established by the State Water Resources Control Board.

All analyses performed by ELAP certified laboratories: AVEK Water Agency, Eurofins Eaton Analytical Laboratories, or Eurofins subcontract lab.

<sup>\*</sup> Compliance is based on the running annual average computed quarterly, of monthly samples, collected at the entrance to the distribution system.

### STAGE 2 DISINFECTION BYPRODUCT RULE TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANE (TTHM) AND HALOACETIC ACIDS (HAA5) QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT

	Water	System Name	Ante	ope Valley-E	ast Kern Water Agi	ency		Wa	ater Syste	em Numb	er		1510053		
				TTHE	1 (ppb)					Monit	oring Perl		ipb)	1	<del></del>
		MP1 (3 qtrs ago)	MP2 (2 qtrs ago)	mg Periods MP3 (last qtr	MP4 (current	OEL	LRAA	MF (3 qtrs		MP2 2 qtrs ago	T	MP3 ast qtr)	MP4 (curre qtr)	ent OEL	LRAZ
	Sample Date>	02/20/20	05/21/20	08/20/2	11/19/20	1		2/20,	/20	5/21/20	8,	/20/20	8/20/20	)	
1	Sample Locations  EAFB	15	17	29	25	24.0	21.5	2	1	3.8		4.1	4.3	2.0	3.6
2	Boron	15	24	28	28	27.0	23.8	2	2	4.0		4.1	4.6	2.1	3.7
-						0.0							<u> </u>	0.0	
3				+		0.0								0.0	
4				<u> </u>							+-			0.0	
5				-		0.0					+			0.0	
6						0.0					-		-	_	
7						0.0			_		-			0.0	
8						0.0	100							0.0	
		Stage 1 Com	plance (RAA)=	22.6	YES		1	Stage	1 Complia	nce (RAA)	-	3.7	YES		
				Signat	ure /	K	1						D	ate	01/08/21
RR/ tate rink	= Operational Evaluation Le A = Locational Running Annu of California ing Water Program	al Average = (f	Quarteri	y Bromate	Report for Di	MP2 = 2		ucts Con	<del></del>						MP3 = La  1P4 = Curre  partment of Pu
Syst	tem Name: Antelope Va	lley-East Kerr	Water Agend	<u>y</u>	System No.: _	15	510053	_ Year:	202	20	Quarter		4th		
					1st Qtr.										
S		2	019	<b>3</b> T		luarterly	- T	nd Qtr.	Quarterly		3rd	l Qtr.	Quarterly		tth Qtr.
	ample Date (month/date):	1st Q 2nd Q	3rd Q 4th		2/12 3/11	Quarterly Average	4/8 5/1	6/10	Average	7/8	8/12	9/9	Average 1	10/14 11/1	1 12/9
-	ample Date (month/date); 1 - Bromate							6/10		7/8 OFF		100	Average 1		1 12/9
Site		1st Q 2nd Q	3rd Q 4th	OFF	2/12 3/11	Average	4/8 5/1	6/10	Average		8/12	9/9	Average 1	10/14 11/1	1 12/9
Site	1 - Bromate	1st Q 2nd Q	3rd Q 4th	OFF	2/12 3/11 / OFF OFF	Average 0.0	4/8 5/1	6/10 OFF	Average 0.0		8/12	9/9 OFF	0.0	10/14 11/1	1 12/9
Site	1 - Bromate  ning Annual Average  Meets Standard?* (check box)	1st Q 2nd Q 0.0 0.0	3rd Q 4th 0.0 0.0	OFF	2/12 3/11 / OFF OFF	0.0 0.0 0.0	4/8 5/1	6/10 OFF	0.0 0.0 Yes		8/12	9/9 OFF	0.0 0.0 Yes	10/14 11/1	1 12/9 OFF
Site	1 - Bromate  ming Annual Average  Meets Standard?* (check box)  tify the sample locations in	1st Q 2nd Q 0.0 0.0	3rd Q 4th 0.0 0.0	OFF	2/12 3/11 / OFF OFF	0.0 0.0 0.0	4/8 5/1. OFF OF	6/10 OFF	0.0  0.0  Yes V	OFF	8/12 OFF	9/9 OFF	0.0 0.0 Yes	10/14 11/1	1 12/9 OFF
Site Run den Site	1 - Bromate  Ining Annual Average  Meets Standard?* (check box)  Itify the sample locations in	1st Q 2nd Q 0.0 0.0	3rd Q 4th 0.0 0.0	OFF	2/12 3/11 / OFF OFF	0.0 0.0 0.0	4/8 5/1. OFF OF	6/10 OFF	0.0  0.0  Yes V	OFF	8/12 OFF	9/9 OFF	0.0 0.0 Yes	10/14 11/1	1 12/9 OFF
Gite Run den Site	1 - Bromate  Ining Annual Average  Meets Standard?* (check box)  Itify the sample locations in	1st Q 2nd Q 0.0 0.0	3rd Q 4th 0.0 0.0	OFF	2/12 3/11 / OFF OFF	0.0 0.0 0.0	4/8 5/1. OFF OF	Ments: O	0.0  0.0  Yes V	OFF	8/12 OFF	9/9 OFF	0.0 0.0 Yes	10/14 11/1	1 12/9 OFF

<sup>\*</sup>If, during the first year of monitoring, any individual quarter's average will cause the running annual average of that system to exceed the standard, then the system is out of compliance at the end of that quarter.

1510053

4th

Chlorine Level Monthly Ave.

(mg/L)

## Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency Kern System No. 1510053

# TOC Removal Running Annual Average

Sample		Alkalinity	Raw TOC	Treated TOC		Required %	Required % "TOC Removal Ratio"	/al Ratio"
	Plant	mgCaCO3/L	mg/L	mg/L	TOC reduction TOC reduction actual % /required %	TOC reduction	n actual % /re	dnired %
	RWTP	133	0.54	0.53	1.9	25	1.0	*
	RWTP	Plant off						
	RWTP	Plant off						
	RWTP	Plant off						
	RWTP	Plant off						
	RWTP	Plant off						
	RWTP	135	1.17	1.26	0.0	25	1.0	•
	RWTP	166	09.0	0.61	0.0	25	1.0	
	RWTP	157	0.75	0.68	9.3	25	1.0	
	RWTP	143	0.55	0.58	0.0	25	1.0	*
	RWTP	156	09.0	0.68	0.0	25	1.0	*
	RWTP	Plant off						
1								

Title 22 California Code of Regulations Article 5:

Required percent TOC reduction\*\*

Source Water Alkalinity Table 64536.2-A

Raw TOC	09-0	<60 - 120	>120
>2.0 - 4.0	35.0 %	25.0 %	15.0 %
>4.0 - 8.0	45.0 %	35.0 %	25.0 %
>8.0	20.0 %	40.0%	30.0 %

"If one or more of the section 64536.4(b) 1-6 conditions are met, the system may assign a monthly value of 1 for the TOC removal ratio in lieu of the calculated value.

List condition when used: 1

- 1. The system's source water TOC level, prior to any treatment is less than or equal to 2.0 mg/L
  - 2. The system's treated water TOC level is less than or equal to 2.0 mg/L
- 3. The system's source water SUVA, prior to any treatment, is lass than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m 4. The system's finished water SUVA is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m
- A system practicing softening removes at least 10 mg/L of magnesium hardness (as CaCO3)
   A system practicing enhanced softening lowers alkalinity below 60 mg/L (as CaCO3)

State of California Drinking Water Program

### Quarterly Report for Disinfectant Residuals Compliance For Systems Using Chlorine or Chloramines

	##		- 0								_							4		١
System No.:	Quarter	2nd Quarter	Number of Samples Taken										56	55	65	Average (RAA):	(2)	(i.e. KAA < MKDL of 4.0 mg/L as CI2)		, 3
cy			Month	July	August August	September	October	November	December	January		March	E April	May	June	Running Annual Average (RAA)	Meets standard?	(I.e. KAA < MKDL		
den																				
ast Kem Water A			Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)	1.05	0.98	1.01	0.96	96.0	0.98	0.99	1.07	96'0	0.94	0.98	1.01	0.99	YES			
Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency	2020	1st Quarter	Number of Samples Taken										26	56	7.0	Average (RAA):		(i.e. RAA < MRDL of 4.0 mg/L as CI2)		
System Name:	Calendar Year:		Month	April	May	June	July	a August	September	-	November	December	January	February	March	Running Annual Average (RAA)	Meets standard?	(i.e. RAA < MRDL		
Ratio"	% pau											ı.			•		•		*	
TOC Removal	octual % /requi	2										, C	?		J.C		1.0		1.0	
Required % "TOC Removal Ratio"	TOC reduction TOC reduction actual % /required %	3										25	2		52		25		52	
Actual %	TOC reduction											c	9.	,	0.0		9.3		0.0	
ated TOC	mg/L	0.0										30	07.1	8 700	0.61		0.68		0.58	

Number of Chlorine Level (mg/L)  Samples Taken (mg/L)  1.07  0.99  0.99  0.99  0.99  1.01  1.02  0.96  0.96  0.96  0.96  1.07  1.02  1.02  1.03  0.96  0.96  0.96  0.96  0.96  0.96  1.07  1.07  1.02  0.96  0.96  0.96  0.96  1.07  1.02		3	3rd Quarter				4th Quarter	
0.99 0.98 0.98 0.98 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01	Menth		Number of Imples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)		Month	Number of Samples Taken	
0.96 0.98 0.98 0.98 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.01 1.01	October			0.99		January		· ·
0.096 0.094 1.007 1.009 1.009 1.009 1.009 1.009	Novembe	<u></u>		1.07		February		
0.094 1.02 1.08 1.09 1.09 1.09	Decembe	٠,		0.96		March		THE PARTY
1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.01	January			0.94	-	April		200
1.01 1.08 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09	February			96.0	JR	Мау		
1.02 0.96 1.08 1.09 1.01 1.01	March			1,01	eY 3r	June		
0.98 1.09 1.01 1.01 1.01	April	Γ		1.02	14Uh	July		
1.08 1.09 1.01 1.01 1.01	May			0.96	9	August		
1.09 1.01 0.97 1.01	June	Γ		1.08		September		aire.
1.01	July		25	1.09		October	56	
1.01	August		56	1.01		November	29	
1.01	Septemb	Je.	70	0.97		December	70	
	Running An	nual Aver	rage (RAA):	1.01	Œ.	unning Annual A	(verage (RAA):	
Meets standard?  YES  YES  (i.e. RAA < MRDL of 4,0 mg/L as CI2)	Meets stand	lard? IRDL of 4.0	0 mg/L as CI2)	YES	2 3	Meets standard? i.e. RAA < MRDL c	f 4.0 mg/L as CI2)	

2

Running Annual Average (RAA)

9.3

1.3

1.2

133.0 166.0 148.3

Minimum Maximum RAA

Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level

(mg/L)

YES

Ü ,		1	
Signature	Date:	à	1/8/2021
7)			