



COUNTY OF EL DORADO RECEIVED

JUN 08 2020

Main Lodge water system CONSUMER CONFIDENCE REPORT 2019

Water Quality Report - June 2020

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SOUTH LAKE TAHOE

This Consumer Confidence Report (CCR) is a summary of results of tests conducted to detect contaminants in your drinking water. It has been provided to educate you, our customer, about the quality of your drinking water for the monitoring period of January 1 – December 31, 2019.

We test the drinking water quality for many constituents as required by state and federal regulations. This report shows the results of our monitoring and may include earlier monitoring data.

Sierra At Tahoe considers water quality of major significance. This Consumer Confidence Report is presented to enhance your understanding of where your water comes from and what it contains to confirm that your drinking water continues to meet or exceed all state and federal drinking water standards.

The resort is committed to providing high quality, reliable, and environmentally sensitive water services to employees and guests. In doing so, we work to conserve and preserve our water sources.

The system is operated by Andrew Bray and Tom Walker. Andrew and Tom are both certified Distribution and Treatment operators under the California State Water Resources Control Board with the California Environmental Protection Agency.

For more information, please contact:

Andrew Bray at 530.543.3129 or via email at abray@sierraattahoe.com

IS THE WATER SAFE? Last year, as in years past, Sierra At Tahoe tap water met USEPA and State drinking water health standards. Sierra At Tahoe safeguards its water supplies and last year, we conducted more than one hundred tests for over 80 contaminants. No contaminants were found in these samples that were above State MCL's (Maximum Contaminant Levels). We worked with El Dorado County Environmental Health Department and the State Water Resources Control Board to implement a water treatment

system to reduce the amount of copper the water picks up from indoor plumbing. Results achieved all required water quality goals during the 2019 year. This brochure is a snapshot of last year's water quality. Included are details about where your water comes from, what it contains, and how it compares to State standards. We are committed to providing you with information regarding the quality of the drinking water here at the resort.

WHERE DOES OUR WATER COME FROM?

There are 2 active drinking water wells that provide drinking water to the Main Lodge water system. We have one water tank with 95,000 gal capacity. All our water is pumped from underground aquifers. No water is taken from surface water sources.

NAME AND LOCATION OF WATER SOURCES.

The two wells that supply the Main Lodge water system are at the base of the Lower Main ski run. They are about 150ft apart. Well #1 is 65ft deep and produces 60gpm, Well #2 is 200ft deep and produces 22gpm.

WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS RESULTS FOR 2018



Additional General Information on Drinking Water

Drinking water, including bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that the water poses a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the U.S. EPA's Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4791).

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. U.S. EPA/Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and other microbial contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4791).

CALIFORNIA SOURCE WATER QUALITY

The sources of drinking water (both tap water and bottled water) include rivers, lakes, streams, ponds, reservoirs, springs, and wells. As water travels over the surface of the land or through the ground, it dissolves naturally-occurring minerals and, in some cases, radioactive material, and can pick up substances resulting from the presence of animals or from human activity.

Contaminants that may be present in source water include:

Microbial contaminants, such as viruses and bacteria, that may come from sewage treatment plants, septic systems, agricultural livestock operations, and wildlife.

Inorganic contaminants, such as salts and metals, that can be naturally-occurring or result from urban stormwater runoff, industrial or domestic wastewater discharges, oil and gas production, mining, or farming.

Pesticides and herbicides, that may come from a variety of sources such as agriculture, urban stormwater runoff, and residential uses.

Organic chemical contaminants, including synthetic and volatile organic chemicals, that are byproducts of industrial processes and petroleum production, and can also come from gas stations, urban stormwater runoff, agricultural application, and septic systems.

Radioactive contaminants, that can be naturally-occurring or be the result of oil and gas production and mining activities.

In order to ensure that tap water is safe to drink, the U.S. EPA and the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) prescribe regulations that limit the amount of certain contaminants in water provided by public water systems. State Board regulations also establish limits for contaminants in bottled water that provide the same protection for public health.

Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 list all the drinking water contaminants that were detected during the most recent sampling for the constituent. The presence of these contaminants in the water does not necessarily indicate that the water poses a health risk. The State Board allows us to monitor for certain contaminants less than once per year because the concentrations of these contaminants do not change frequently. Some of the data, though representative of the water quality, are more than one year old. Any violation of an AL, MCL, MRDL, or TT is asterisked. Additional information regarding the violation is provided later in this report.

TERMS USED IN THIS REPORT

Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL): The highest level of a Secondary Drinking Water Standards (SDWS): technologically feasible. Secondary MCLs are set to protect the MCL levels. lodor, taste, and appearance of drinking water.

Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG): The level of a the level of a contaminant in drinking water. contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known lor expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA).

Public Health Goal (PHG): The level of a contaminant in drinking Variances and Exemptions: State Board permission to exceed an water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by the California Environmental Protection conditions. Agency.

level of a disinfectant allowed in drinking water. There is convincing evidence that addition of a disinfectant is necessary Level 2 Assessment: A Level 2 assessment is a very detailed study for control of microbial contaminants.

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal (MRDLG): The level of a drinking water disinfectant below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs do not reflect the benefits of the use of disinfectants to control microbial contaminants.

Primary Drinking Water Standards (PDWS): MCLs and MRDLs for contaminants that affect health along with their monitoring reporting requirements, requirements.

contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. Primary MCLs contaminants that affect taste, odor, or appearance of the drinking are set as close to the PHGs (or MCLGs) as is economically and water. Contaminants with SDWSs do not affect the health at the

Treatment Technique (TT): A required process intended to reduce

Regulatory Action Level (AL): The concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, triggers treatment or other requirements that a water system must follow.

MCL or not comply with a treatment technique under certain

Level 1 Assessment: A Level 1 assessment is a study of the water Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level (MRDL): The highest system to identify potential problems and determine (if possible) why total coliform bacteria have been found in our water system.

> of the water system to identify potential problems and determine (if possible) why an E. coli MCL violation has occurred and/or why total coliform bacteria have been found in our water system on multiple occasions.

ND: not detectable at testing limit

ppm: parts per million or milligrams per liter (mg/L)

ppb: parts per billion or micrograms per liter (µg/L)

and water treatment ppt: parts per trillion or nanograms per liter (ng/L)

ppq: parts per quadrillion or picogram per liter (pg/L)

pCi/L: picocuries per liter (a measure of radiation)

| TABLE 1 – SAMPLING RESULTS SHOWING THE DETECTION OF COLIFORM BACTERIA | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Microbiological Contaminants | Highest No. of Detections | No. of Months in Violation | MCL | MCLG | Typical Source of Bacteria | | | |
| Total Coliform Bacteria | 1 | ZERO | 1 positive monthly sample | 0 | Naturally present in the environment | | | |
| Fecal Coliform or E. coli (state Total Coliform Rule) | ZERO | ZERO | A routine sample and a repeat sample are total coliform positive, and one of these is also fecal coliform or <i>E. coli</i> positive | | Human and animal fecal waste | | | |
| E. coli (federal Revised Total Coliform Rule) | ZERO | ZERO | (a) | 0 | Human and animal fecal waste | | | |

(a) Routine and repeat samples are total coliform-positive and either is *E. coli*-positive or system fails to take repeat samples following *E. coli*-positive routine sample or system fails to analyze total coliform-positive repeat sample for *E. coli*.

| TABLE | TABLE 2 – SAMPLING RESULTS SHOWING THE DETECTION OF LEAD AND COPPER | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----|-----|---|--|
| Lead and Copper (complete if lead or copper detected in the last sample set) | Sample Date | No. of Samples Collected | 90 th Percentile Level Detected | No. Sites Exceeding AL | AL | PHG | No. of Schools Requesting Lead Sampling | Typical Source of Contaminant |
| Lead (ppb) | 05/08/2019 | 5 | 0 | Zero Zero | 15 | 0.2 | Not applicable | Internal corrosion of household water plumbing systems; discharges from industrial manufacturers; erosion of natural deposits |
| Copper (ppm) | 05/08/2019 | 5 5 | 0.83 | Zero Zero | 1.3 | 0.3 | Not applicable | Internal corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits; leaching from wood preservatives |

| TABLE 3 – SAMPLING RESULTS FOR SODIUM AND HARDNESS | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------|------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units) | Sample Date | Level Detected | Range of Detections | MCL | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of Contaminant | | |
| Sodium (ppm) | N/A | N/A | | none | none | Salt present in the water and is generally naturally occurring | | |
| Hardness (ppm) | 2/1/10 | 22.4 | | none | none | Sum of polyvalent cations present in the water, generally magnesium and calcium, and are usually naturally occurring | | |
| TABLE 4 – DET | FECTION C | OF CONTAMIN | NANTS WITH A | PRIMARY | DRINKING | WATER STANDARD | | |
| Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units) | Sample Date | Level Detected | Range of Detections | MCL [MRDL] | PHG (MCLG) [MRDLG] | Typical Source of Contaminant | | |
| none | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| TABLE 5 – DETE | TABLE 5 – DETECTION OF CONTAMINANTS WITH A SECONDARY DRINKING WATER STANDARD | | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units) | Sample Date | Level Detected | Range of Detections | MCL | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of Contaminant | | |
| none | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| TABLE 6 – DETECTION OF UNREGULATED CONTAMINANTS | | | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units) | Sample Date | Level Detected | Range of Detections | Notification Level Health Effects Langu | | Health Effects Language | | |
| None | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | |



Summary Information for Violation of a MCL, MRDL, AL, TT, or Monitoring and Reporting Requirement

| | VIOLATION OF A MCL, MRDL, AL, TT, OR MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENT | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Violation | Explanation | Duration | Actions Taken to Correct the Violation | Health Effects Language | | | |
| Failure to sample 1,2,3- trichloropropane | Missed taking the sample by required date | 1 st qtr 2019 | Samples were taken in 2 nd qtr 2019 and were non-detect. | Some people who drink water containing 1,2,3-trichloropropane in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer. | | | |

FOR WATER SYSTEMS PROVIDING GROUNDWATER AS A SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER

| | TAble 7 – SAMPLING RESULTS SHOWING fecal indicator-positive groundwater source samples | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Microbiological Contaminants | Total No. of Detections | Sample Dates | MCL [MRDL | PHG (MCLG) [MRDLG | Typical Source of Contaminant | | |
| E. coli | None | | 31. | | Human and animal fecal waste | | |
| Enterococci | None | | | | Human and animal fecal waste | | |
| Coliphage | None | | | | Human and animal fecal waste | | |